Math 466/666: Homework Assignment 1

This homework explores some properties of the Chebyshev polynomials related to optimal interpolation.

Students are encouraged to work together and consult resources outside of the required textbook for this assignment. Please cite any sources you consulted, including Wikipedia, other books, online discussion groups as well as personal communications. Be prepared to independently answer questions concerning the material on quizzes and exams.

Unless a disability makes it difficult, present all pencil-and-paper work in your own hand writing. To do this scan handwritten pages using a cell phone, document camera or flatbed scanner. Alternatively, you may write on a digital tablet with a writing stylus. If a computer was used to solve any part of a problem, include the code, input and output. Please upload your work as a single pdf file to WebCampus.

Equation (3.3–3) from the text states a theorem on the error in the approximations obtained using interpolating polynomials. When discussed in class we stated this result as

Theorem on Interpolating Polynomials: Given the distinct points x_i where i = 1, ..., n, let p(x) be the unique interpolating polynomial of degree less than or equal n - 1 such that

$$p(x_i) = f(x_i)$$
 for $i = 1, ..., n$.

Provided f has n derivatives, then for every t there is a corresponding ξ between $\min(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $\max(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that

$$f(t) = p(t) + \frac{q(t)}{n!} f^{(n)}(\xi)$$
 where $q(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (t - x_i).$

In this assignment we will consider how the choice of the points x_i affects q(t) and the resulting bounds on the error in the approximation.

- **1.** Consider the functions $g(t) = (t c + \epsilon)(t c \epsilon)$ and $h(t) = (t c)^2$. It is true or false that g(t) < h(t) for all c, t and $\epsilon \neq 0$? If true explain why using mathematical reasoning, if false provide values of c, t and $\epsilon \neq 0$ such that $g(t) \ge h(t)$.
- **2.** Consider the function

$$M(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n |t - x_i| : t \in [-1, 1] \right\}.$$

For n = 2 find a choice for c_1 and c_2 such that

$$M(c_1, c_2) \le M(x_1, x_2)$$
 for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{R}$.

In other words, find values c_1 and c_2 for x_1 and x_2 such that $M(x_1, x_2)$ is minimal.

- **3.** Repeat the previous problem for n = 3 and n = 4. Please show all work and clearly explain your reasoning.
- **4.** [Extra Credit and Math 666] Given arbitrary $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let the c_i be chosen such that $M(c_1, \ldots, c_n) \leq M(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for all $x_i \in \mathbf{R}$.

Explain why the c_i must be distinct. Hint: Use your answer to the first question.

5. Use the angle addition formulas

$$\sin(a+b) = \sin a \cos b + \cos a \sin b$$
$$\cos(a+b) = \cos a \cos b - \sin a \sin b$$

and the Pythagorean theorem $\sin^2 a + \cos^2 a = 1$ to show $\cos(2a) = 2\cos^2 a - 1$.

- 6. Use techniques similar to those employed in the previous problem to express $\cos(3a)$ and $\cos(4a)$ as a function of $\cos a$.
- 7. [Extra Credit and Math 666] Given arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$ use trigonometry to obtain the general reduction formula

$$\cos(na) = 2\cos a \cos\left((n-1)a\right) - \cos\left((n-2)a\right).$$

8. Define the Chebyshev polynomials as

 $T_n(t) = 2t T_{n-1}(t) - T_{n-2}(t)$ where $T_0(t) = 1$ and $T_1(t) = t$. Find $T_2(t)$, $T_3(t)$ and $T_4(t)$.

- **9.** Compare $T_n(t)$ to $\prod_{i=1}^n (t-c_i)$ for the values of c_i found earlier when n = 2, 3, 4. How are these functions related?
- 10. Compare $T_n(t)$ to the trigonometric identities for $\cos(na)$ when n = 2, 3, 4 by making the identification $t = \cos a$. How are these functions related?
- 11. The Chebyshev approximation theory implies that

$$c_i = \cos\left(\frac{\pi(i-\frac{1}{2})}{n}\right)$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, n$

leads to the minimal value of M such that

$$M(c_1,\ldots,c_n) \le M(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$
 for all $x_i \in \mathbf{R}$.

Verify these values of c_i agree with those found in earlier for n = 2, 3, 4.

12. Given an arbitrary interval [a, b] define

$$\widetilde{M}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \max\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n |t-x_i| : t \in [a,b]\right\}$$

and let \tilde{c}_i be chosen such that

$$\widetilde{M}(\widetilde{c}_1,\ldots,\widetilde{c}_n) \leq \widetilde{M}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \quad \text{for all} \quad x_i \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Find a relationship between \tilde{c}_i and the values of c_i defined earlier.

#1. We
$$g(t) = (t-c+\epsilon)(t-c-\epsilon)$$
 and $h(t) = (t-c)^{2}$.
Then it is TRISE that $g(t) < h(t)$ for all c, t and
 $\epsilon \neq 0$. This can be seen by subtracting
 $h(t)-g(t) = (t-c)^{2} - (t-c+\epsilon)(t-c-\epsilon)$
 $= (t-c)^{2} - ((t-c)+\epsilon)((t-c)-\epsilon)$
 $= (t-c)^{2} - ((t-c)^{2} + \epsilon^{2}) = \epsilon^{2} > 0$

Therefore g(t) < h(t).

#2. When n=2 we have $M(x_1, x_2) = \max\{\frac{1}{(t-x_1)(t-x_2)}| : t\in[-1, 1]\}.$ Note that the maximum of $|(t-x_1)(t-x_2)|$ occurs at either a minimum or maximum of $(t-x_1)(t-x_2).$ This is a quadratic which is concare up and bole, in general as



Clearly the extrema of this function occur at the endpoints of the interval and at the ventex. We now employ symmetry in order to simplify choosing the values of x_1 and z_2 that minimize $M(x_1, x_2)$.

 $kt p(t) = (t - x_1)(t - x_2)$

#2 continues...

Note first that the maximum is either at t=-1 or t=1. If these values are not equal, then the largor can be reduced while the smaller increase so the are the same. This does not change the minimum but reduces the maximum. Thus, we assume that p(-1) = p(1) when z_1 and z_2 have been chosen so that $M(z_1, z_2)$ is minimal.

It is easy to see this condition further implies that $x_1 = -x_2$ and that the vertex occurs at t=0. In this case, the graph of plt) looks like



#2 watinues...

We now claim that the distance from the x-arris to the maximum at p(-1) or p(1)must be the same as the distance to the minimum at p(0). If not, then one could translate the graph either up or down and further decrease the value of $M(x_1, x_2)$. Therefore, we have



#2 continues...
The symmetry arguments have led to
two equations

$$P(-1) = -p(0)$$
 and $p(1) = -p(0)$
which are now enough to determine the
optimal values of x_1 and x_2 .
 $(-(-x_1)(-1-x_2) = -x_1x_2$
 $(1-x_1)(1-x_2) = -x_1x_2$
yields
 $1 + x_1 + x_2 + x_1x_2 = -x_1x_2$
 $1 - x_1 - x_2 + x_1x_2 = -x_1x_2$
 $y_1 + x_2 = -1 - 2x_1x_2$

$$x_1 + x_2 = 1 + 2x_1 x_2$$

×.

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 = 0$$

This means $x_1 = -x_2$.

2 Loutinues ...

Substituting
$$x_1 = -x_2$$
 into one of the equations then yields
 $O = 1 - 2x_2^2$
So $x_2 = \frac{1}{\pm \sqrt{2}}$ and $x_1 = \frac{1}{\pm \sqrt{2}}$.
For definiteness, and to preserve the ordering $x_1 < x_2$ in the graph, take
 $C_1 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $C_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
as the values for ze_1 and ze_2 .

.

#3. Repeat the procedure for n=3 and n=4. when n=3 similar symmetry arguments imply that the graph of $p(t) = (t - x_1)(t - x_2)(t - x_3)$

looks like



In this case $x_1 = -x_3$ and $x_2 = 0$. Thus $p(t) = (t + x_3)t(t - x_3)$ $= t^3 - x_3^2 t$

Differentiate to find the two relatives extrema where p'(t)=0.

#3. continues... $p'(t) = 3t^2 - x_3^2 = 0$ Therefore $t=\pm \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ is the location of the extrema. It forelows from the fact that all the distances marked by d in the graph one equal, that $p(1) = -P\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$ Dr $1 - \chi_3^2 = -\left(\left(\frac{\chi_3}{V_3}\right)^3 - \chi_3^2\left(\frac{\chi_3}{V_3}\right)\right)$ It looks complicated, be cause of all the V3's, but these can be made to disappear with the substitution

$$x = \frac{x_3}{v_3}$$
, so $x_3^2 = 3x^2$.

Thus

$$|-3\alpha^2 = -\left(\alpha^3 - 3\alpha^2\alpha\right)$$

оV

$$2x^3 + 3x^2 - 1 = 0$$

To solve this cubic, we use the rational voot theorem (or a guess).

#3 continuess ... Solving for a in $2x^{3}+3x^{2}-1=0$ by the rational root theorem suggests we try ±1 and ±2. Plugging in these guesses we see that x=-1 is a solution. Thus x+1 is a factor and 2x2+d-1 $(x+1) 2x^3 + 3x^2 - 1$ $2\alpha^3 + 2\alpha^2$ $x^{2} - 1$ $\chi^2 + \chi$ -d-1 Shows that we can solve $2a^{2} + d - 1 = 0$ to find the remaining roots.

#3 continues. $2\chi^2 + \alpha - 1 = 0$ By the quadratic formula $d = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 8}}{4} = \frac{-1 \pm 3}{4}$ so the remaining roots are $\alpha = -1$ and $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Since x3>0 we take x = 1/2 and obtain $x_3 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$ From thes it follows that $C_1 = -\frac{13}{1}$, $C_2 = 0$ and $C_3 = \frac{13}{2}$ in the clipice of xi's for which the Lunction M(x1, x2, x3) is minimized.



#3 continues...
This reduces the polynomial to

$$p(t) = t^4 - t^2 + x_3^2 x_4^2$$

For simplicity, note that $d = x_3^2 x_4^2$.
Thus
 $p(t) = t^4 - t^2 + d$.
Now, differentiate to find the other
extrema:
 $p'(t) = 4t^3 - 2t = 0$
So
 $t = 0$ or $t = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$
It follows that
 $-p(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) = d$
or that
 $-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} - d = d$
 $\frac{1}{4} = 2d$ or $d = \frac{1}{8}$

#3 continues...

Consequently, we have $\int x_{3}^{2} + x_{q}^{2} = 1$ $\int x_{2}^{2} x_{q}^{2} = \frac{1}{8}$

Substituting, yields $x_3^2(1-x_3^2) = \frac{1}{8}$ $x_3^4 - x_3^2 + \frac{1}{8} = 0$ which is quadratic in x_3^2 . Thus $x_3^2 = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}}{2}$

Taking X3 to be the smaller of the two options then yields that

$$C_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{1+1\sqrt{2}}{2}}, C_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{1-1\sqrt{2}}{2}}, C_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1-1\sqrt{2}}{2}}, C_{4} = \sqrt{\frac{1+1\sqrt{2}}{2}}, C_{3} = \sqrt{\frac{1-1\sqrt{2}}{2}}, C_{4} = \sqrt{\frac{1+1\sqrt{2}}{2}}.$$

4. Suppose

$$M(c_1, ..., c_n) \leq M(x_1, ..., x_n)$$
 for all $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$.
Explain along the c_i 's must be distinct.
Tor contradiction, suppose they norre not
distinct. Thus there would be at least
two c_i 's that were the same. Wilhout
loss of generality, suppose $c_1 = c_2 = c$.
Now consider the polynomial
 $P_2(t) = (t-c-\epsilon)(t-c+\epsilon) \prod_{i=3}^{m} (t-c_i)$
Note that $P_0(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} (t-c_i)$ and
consequently
 $M(c_1, ..., c_n) = \max \{|P_0(t)|: t \in [-1, 1]\}$
and choose ϵ so small that
 $\epsilon^2 B < \frac{M(c_1, ..., c_n)}{2}$

•

#(continues ...
Note for the [c-e, c+e] that

$$\frac{|(t-c-e)(t-c+e)|}{= -(t-c-e)(t-c+e)}$$

$$= -(t-c)^{2} + e^{2} \leq e^{2}$$
Thurefore if the [c-e, c+e], then

$$\frac{|P_{e}(t)| \leq e^{2} | \frac{\pi}{(t-c_{i})|}$$

$$\leq e^{2} B \leq \frac{1}{2} M(c_{i},...,c_{n})$$
On the other hand, if the [-1, c-e] u[cte, 1]
then

$$\frac{|(t-c-e)(t-c+e)|}{=(t-c-e)(t-c+e)}$$

$$= (t-c-e)(t-c+e) = (t-c-e)(t-c+e)$$

. .

.

#4 continues. In this case $|P_{2}(t)| = ((t-c)^{2} - \varepsilon^{2}) | \mathcal{F}(t-c_{i})|$ $=\left(\left|-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{(t-c)^{2}}\right)\left|P_{0}(t)\right|\right).$ Now, since tE [-1, c-E] U[C+E, 1] and CE[-1,1] it follows that $(t-c)^2 \in a^2 = 4$ and moreover that $\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{(t-c)^2}\right) \le 1-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$ Consequently $Max \{|P_{\varepsilon}(t)|: t \in [-1, c-\varepsilon] \cup [c+\varepsilon, 1]\}$ $\leq \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon'}{4}\right) \operatorname{Max} \left\{ \left| P_{o}(t) \right| : t \in \left[-1, c - \varepsilon\right] \cup \left[c + \varepsilon, 1\right] \right\}$ $\leq (1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}) M(c_1, \dots, c_2)$

#4 continues Define y= max 3 ±, 1- € 3. Thin XXI and furthermore $\max\{|P_{E}(t)|: t \in [-1,1]\}$ $\leq \gamma M(c_1, \dots, c_2) < M(c_1, \dots, c_m)$ which contradicts M(c,,..., cn) being minimal. Thrugou, there can't be any repeated values among the C:'s and we couclude the are all distinct.

•

#5. Recall that $\sin(a+b) = \sin(a) \cos b + \cos a \sin b$ $\cos(a+b) = \cos a \cos b - \sin a \sin b$ $\cos d$ $\sin^2 a + \cos^2 a = 1$. It follows that $\cos(2a) = \cos(a+a) = \cos a \cos a - \sin a \sin a$ $= \cos^2 a - \sin^2 a$ $= \cos^2 a - (1 - \cos^2 a)$ $= 2\cos^2 a - 1$.

#6. Express
$$\cos(3\alpha)$$
 and $\cos(4\alpha)$ as functions
of only $\cos \alpha$.
 $(\cos(3\alpha) = \cos(2\alpha + \alpha))$
 $= \cos 2\alpha \cos \alpha - \sin 2\alpha \sin \alpha$
 $\sin 2\alpha = \sin(\alpha + \alpha) = \sin \alpha \cos \alpha + \cos \alpha \sin \alpha$
 $= 2\sin \alpha \cos \alpha$
 $(\cos(3\alpha) = (2\cos^2 \alpha - 1))\cos \alpha - 2\sin^2 \alpha \cos \alpha$
 $= 2\cos^3 \alpha - \cos \alpha - 2(1-\cos^2 \alpha)\cos \alpha$
 $= 2\cos^3 \alpha - \cos \alpha - 2(1-\cos^2 \alpha)\cos \alpha$
 $= 2\cos^3 \alpha - 3\cos \alpha + 2\cos^3 \alpha$
 $= 4\cos^3 \alpha - 3\cos \alpha + 2\cos^3 \alpha$
 $(\cos 4\alpha = \cos(2\alpha + 2\alpha)) = 2\cos^2(2\alpha) - 1$
 $= 2(2\cos^2 \alpha - 1)^2 - 1$
 $= 2(2\cos^2 \alpha - 1)^2 - 1$
 $= 8\cos^4 \alpha - 8\cos^2 \alpha + 2 - 1$

$$= 8\cos^4 a - 8\cos^2 a + 1$$

•

#7 Shows that

$$(cos(ma)) = \lambda cosa cos(m-1)a - cos(m-2)a$$

By trizonometry
 $(los(ma)) = cos(a+(m-1)a)$
 $= cosa cos(m-1)a - sina sin(m-1)a$
 $sin(m-1)a = sin(a+(m-2)a)$
 $= sina cos(m-2)a + cosa sin(m-2)a$
Thus
 $(cos(ma)) = cosa cos(m-1)a$
 $- sina (sina cos(m-2)a + cosa sin(m-2)a)$
 $= cosa cos(m-1)a - sin^2 a cos(m-2)a$
 $- sina cosa sin(m-2)a$
Now $sin^2a = 1 - cos^2a$
and
 $sina sin(m-2)a = cosa cos(m-2)a - cos(m-1)a$

#7 continues ... Substituting yields Cos(ng) = cosa con(n-1)a - (1-cos2a) cos(n-2)a $-\cos(\cos(\cos(\pi-2)a) - \cos(\pi-1)a)$ $= 2\cos(m-1)q - \cos(m-2)q$

•

#8 Define

$$T_n(t) = 2tT_{n-1}(t) - T_{n-2}(t)$$

where $T_0(t) = 1$ and $T_1(t) = t$.
Then
 $T_2(t) = 2tT_1(t) - T_0(t)$
 $= 2t(t) - 1 = 2t^2 - 1$.
and
 $T_3(t) = 2tT_2(t) - T_1(t)$
 $= 2t(2t^2 - 1) - t$
 $= 4t^3 - 3t$.
and
 $T_4(t) = 2tT_3(t) - T_2(t)$
 $= 2t(4t^3 - 3t) - (2t^2 - 1)$
 $= 8t^4 - 8t^2 + 1$.

#9

N	Tn	$\mathcal{T}(t-c_i)$
a	222-1	$(t+\frac{1}{2})(t-\frac{1}{2})$
3	~1+3-3+	(+ラ)+(+-ラ)
4	$8t^{4}-8t^{2}+1$	$\left(t+\sqrt{\frac{1+1)_{2}}{2}}\right)\left(t+\sqrt{\frac{1+1}{2}}\right)\left(t-\sqrt{\frac{1+1}{2}}\right)\left(t-\sqrt{\frac{1+1}{2}}\right)$

Multiplying out the polynomials in the last column obtains $(t+\frac{1}{r_2})(t-\frac{1}{r_3}) = t^2 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(2t^2-1) = \frac{1}{2}T_2(t)$ and $(t+\frac{1}{2})t(t-\frac{1}{2}) = t(t^2-\frac{3}{4}) = \frac{1}{4}(4t^3-3t)$ $= \frac{1}{4}T_3(t)$

#9 cartinues.
For
$$m = 4$$

 $(t + \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{12}}{2}})(t + \sqrt{\frac{1-\sqrt{12}}{2}})(t - \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{12}}{2}})(t - \sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{12}}{2}})$
 $= (t^2 - \frac{1+\sqrt{12}}{2})(t^2 - \frac{1-\sqrt{12}}{2})$
 $= t^4 - t^2 + (\frac{1+\sqrt{12}}{2})(\frac{1-\sqrt{12}}{2})$
 $= t^4 - t^2 + \frac{1-\sqrt{2}}{4}$
 $= t^4 - t^2 + \frac{1}{8}$
 $= \frac{1}{8}(8t^4 - 8t^2 + 1) = \frac{1}{8}T_4(t)$
In Summary
 $T_n(t) = 2^{n-1} \frac{n}{7t}(t-c_1)$
 $i=1$

for M=2, 3, 4 as shown above.

#10 Recall $Cos(2a) = 2\cos^2 a - 1$ $Cos(3a) = 4\cos^2 a - 3\cos a$ $\cos(4a) = 8\cos^4 a - 8\cos^2 a + 1$ Substituting $t = \cos a$ yields fat $Cos(2a) = T_2(\cos a)$ $Cos(3a) = T_3(\cos a)$ $Cos(4a) = T_4(\cos a)$

as these are exactly the same coeficients in the polynomials Th(t).

-

. •

#11 The Chibyphov approximation theory implies

$$C_{i} = Cr\left(\frac{\pi(i-1/2)}{n}\right)$$
for $i = 1, ..., m$.
Verify those valuess for $m = 2, 3, 4$ with the
results that minimize $M(x_{1}, ..., x_{n})$ earlier
From before in problem 2
 $M = 2$ implies

$$C_{1} = -\frac{1}{72}, \quad C_{2} = \frac{1}{72} \circ$$
on the orther hand
 $i = 1, \quad Cos\left(\frac{\pi(1-1/2)}{2}\right) = Cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{12}$
and
 $i = 2, \quad Cos\left(\frac{\pi(1+1/2)}{2}\right) = Cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) = -\frac{1}{12}$.
Thursfore, except for relabeling the
indices, there are the same values
for C_{1} and C_{2} .

11 continues
For M=3 problem 3 implies

$$C_1 = -\frac{13}{2}$$
, $C_2 = 0$ and $C_3 = \frac{13}{2} = 0$
Du the other hand
 $i=1$, $(D_3\left(\frac{\pi(1-1/2)}{3}\right) = Cor(\frac{\pi}{6}) = \frac{13}{2}$
 $i=2$, $Cor(\frac{\pi(2-1/2)}{3}) = cor(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$
 $i=3$, $Cor(\frac{\pi(3-1/2)}{3}) = cor(\frac{5\pi}{6}) = -\frac{13}{2}$
Again, except for relabeling the indices,
thuse one the same values for
 C_1 , C_2 and C_3 .

i.

•

#11 continues ... For M=4 problem 4 implies $C_{1} = -\sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{12}}{2}}, C_{2} = -\sqrt{\frac{1-\sqrt{12}}{2}}$ $C_3 = \sqrt{\frac{1 - (1/2)}{2}}, \quad C_4 = \sqrt{\frac{1 + 1/2}{2}}$ For gimplicity it seems reasonable to compare the decimal approximations $C_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{1+\sqrt{12}}{2}} = 0.9238795325112867...$ C2=-1-112 2 - 0,3826834323650897, $C_3 = -C_2$ and $C_4 = -C_1$ Slightly brith n=1, $\cos\left(\frac{\pi(1-\gamma_{2})}{4}\right)=\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)$ ≈ 0,9238795325112867,,, $i \sim 2$, $\omega \left(\frac{\pi(2-\frac{1}{2})}{4}\right) = \omega \left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)$ 2 0.38268 343236 508984 Min almost the same as - Cz

#11 continues...

$$i=3, cos(\frac{\pi(3+1/2)}{4}) = cos(\frac{5\pi}{8})$$

 $\mathcal{X} \sim 0.382634323650897...$
Some as $C_{2...}$
 $i=4, cos(\frac{\pi(4+1/2)}{4}) = cos(\frac{9\pi}{8})$
 $\mathcal{X} = 0.9238795325112867...$
Same as C_1
Subject to slight differences in what
appears to be rounding error, these
are the same values for C_1, C_2, C_3 and C_4 .

•

#12 Hiven an arbitrary internal [a,b] define M(x,...,xn)=max { T |t-xi|: t∈ [a,b] } and let ~ i be chosen such that M(~,...,~,~) ≤ M(x,...,xn) for all xi ∈ R. Find a relationship between

for all zig F.R. Find a relationship botween the values of \widetilde{c}_i and the values c_i defined earlies.

Since rescaling the x-axis maps polynomials into polynomials (of the same degree) then it is conough to map ci to Ci using the same scaling relation that maps [-1,1] onto [a,b]. Namely $f(t) = \alpha t + \beta$ nshue $f(-1) = -\alpha + \beta = \alpha$ $f(n) = \alpha + \beta = b$ Thus $2\beta = atb$ and $\beta = \frac{atb}{2}$ dx = b - a and $d = \frac{b - a}{2}$.

#12 continues... The function $f(t) = \frac{b-q}{2}t + \frac{btq}{2}$ maps f: [-1, 1] -> [a, b] linearly. Therefore $\tilde{c}_{i} = f(c_{i}) = \frac{b-a}{2}c_{i} + \frac{b+a}{2}$ gives the relationship between Ei and the values of Ci.

•

•

/