

• pass by reference so any changes to x inside the program would change the argument passed in

$$b_i = \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij} x_j$$

$x_i =$

$$A_{ii}$$

```
1 function gs(A,b,x)
```

```
2     y=copy(x) ← to prevent overwriting x, because x is an argument and that would be confusing...
```

```
3     for i=1:size(A)[1]
```

```
4         t=b[i]
```

```
5         for j=1:size(A)[2]
```

```
6             if i!=j
```

```
7                 t-=A[i,j]*y[j]
```

```
8             end
```

```
9         end
```

```
10        t/=A[i,i]
```

```
11        y[i]=t
```

```
12    end
```

```
13    return y
```

```
14 end
```

computes this sum

$$\sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij} x_j$$

subtracts this from the b_i

$$Ax = b$$

$$(Ax)_i = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{ij} x_j$$

$$(Ax)_i = b_i$$

on components

system of linear equations written using the indices...

$$\sum_{j=1}^m A_{ij} x_j = b_i$$

Solve for variables of the diagonal

$$A_{ii} x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij} x_j = b_i$$

Gauss Seidel Method

$$b_i \sim \sum_{j \neq i} A_{ij} x_j$$
$$x_i \approx \frac{\quad}{A_{ii}} \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, n$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 5 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{b_2 - 4x_1 - 2x_3}{5}$$

doesn't look like a contraction...

```
julia> A=[5 2 0 0;4 5 2 0;0 3 5 1;0 0 2 5]
4x4 Matrix{Int64}:
 5  2  0  0
 4  5  2  0
 0  3  5  1
 0  0  2  5
```

Suppose we cook a problem
so $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is the
answer

$$\text{let } b = A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

```
julia> b=A*ones(4)
4-element Vector{Float64}:
 7.0
11.0
 9.0
 7.0
```

The answer to $Ax = b$ is $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Does Gauss-Seidel converge? YES

```
julia> xn=zeros(4)
      for i=1:30
          xn=gs(A,b,xn)
      end
```

```
julia> xn
4-element Vector{Float64}:
 1.000000014967943
 0.9999999776555502
 1.000000015480689
 0.9999999938077245
```

← slowest where it didn't look like a contraction...

STEP 17

THE EIGENVALUE PROBLEM

The power method

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with distinct eigen values λ_i and
eigenvectors ξ_i for $i=1, \dots, n$.

Trying to find one of the eigenvalues and one eigenvector.
How? As simple as possible...

It's always going to be approximate...

From linear algebra we define the characteristic polynomial

$$\chi_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I) \leftarrow \text{polynomial of degree } n$$

and then solve $\chi_A(\lambda) = 0$ to find the eigen values.

↗
roots of a polynomial of degree n
generally get n solutions..

To solve for the roots could use Newton's method to find one root λ_1 .

Then divide by it $\lambda - \lambda_1 \overline{) \chi_A(\lambda)}$

to get a polynomial of degree $n-1$,

Use Newton's method again to find a root λ_2 and so forth...

Problems:

Main Problem

→ ① It's computationally expensive to find $\det(A - \lambda I)$

② Deflation propagates error rather badly...

↑ fix it by applying Newton's iterations for $\chi_A(\lambda)$ to all the roots found to sharpen them up and make them more accurate.

Application

$$\|A\| = \max \{ \|Ax\| : \|x\| \leq 1 \}$$

$$= \max \{ \lambda_i^{1/2} : i=1, \dots, n \}$$

↑ here λ_i is the eigenvalues of $B = A^T A$.

For this application I only need the largest eigenvalue of B .

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with distinct eigen values λ_i and
eigenvectors ξ_i for $i=1, \dots, n$.

Order the eigenvalues in decreasing magnitude

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$$

one more assumption this inequality is strict.

The eigenvalue of greatest magnitude is unique...

Goal: Find that eigen value and its eigenvector...
approximate

Suppose ξ_1^* is an approximation of ξ_1

$$A \xi_1^* \approx \lambda_1 \xi_1^*$$

Thus,

$$A \xi_1^* \cdot \xi_1^* \approx \lambda_1 \xi_1^* \cdot \xi_1^*$$

or

just a number, so I can divide by it

$$\lambda_1 \approx \frac{A \xi_1^* \cdot \xi_1^*}{\xi_1^* \cdot \xi_1^*}$$

Idea.. multiplying by A stretches a vector in each of the
eigenvector directions by λ_i amount. The greatest
stretching will be in the ξ_1 direction since λ_1 has
greatest magnitude... Repeatedly stretch a vector until
this ξ_1 direction dominates...

Specifically: Let $w^{(0)}$ be an approximation of ξ_1
any vector not zero will be fine

$$w^{(1)} = Aw^{(0)}$$

$$w^{(2)} = Aw^{(1)}$$

$$\vdots$$
$$w^{(i+1)} = Aw^{(i)}$$

Thus

$$w^{(i)} = A^i w^{(0)}$$

look at this vector... it is approximating an eigenvector for λ_1 more and more each time...

Since eigenvectors are not unique, I don't expect $w^{(i)}$ to converge. But it will approximate some eigenvector of λ_1 as it stretches around better each time...

Thus, since

$$\lambda_1 \approx \frac{A \xi_1^* \cdot \xi_1^*}{\xi_1^* \cdot \xi_1^*}$$

we expect that $\frac{A w^{(i)} \cdot w^{(i)}}{w^{(i)} \cdot w^{(i)}}$ will converge.