

Can I use Strassen's Method when doing the matrix multiples in the HPL benchmark or for the Top500 run?

The normal matrix multiplication algorithm requires $n^3 + O(n^2)$ multiplications and about the same number of additions. Strassen's algorithm reduces the total number of operations to $O(n^{2.82})$ by recursively multiplying $2n \times 2n$ matrices using seven $n \times n$ matrix multiplications. Thus using Strassen's Algorithm will distort the true execution rate. As a result we do not allow Strassen's Algorithm to be used for the TOP500 reporting. As a side note, in the "usual" matrix multiplication, we have an n^2 error term. In Strassen's method, the error exponent p for n ranges from 2-3.85 and the numerical error can be 10-100 times greater than that for standard multiplication.

There are general algorithms to solve $Ax = b$ which are asymptotically faster than $O(n^3)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In fact $O(n^{2.82})$ is possible with Strassen's algorithm..

Article | [Open access](#) | Published: 05 October 2022

Discovering faster matrix multiplication algorithms with reinforcement learning

[Alhussein Fawzi](#) , [Matej Balog](#), [Aja Huang](#), [Thomas Hubert](#), [Bernardino Romera-Paredes](#), [Mohammadamin Barekatain](#), [Alexander Novikov](#), [Francisco J. R. Ruiz](#), [Julian Schrittwieser](#), [Grzegorz Swirszcz](#), [David Silver](#), [Demis Hassabis](#) & [Pushmeet Kohli](#)

[Nature](#) **610**, 47–53 (2022) | [Cite this article](#)

659k Accesses | 416 Citations | 3511 Altmetric | [Metrics](#)

Abstract

Improving the efficiency of algorithms for fundamental computations can have a widespread impact, as it can affect the overall speed of a large amount of computations. Matrix multiplication is one such primitive task, occurring in many systems—from neural networks to scientific computing

Even faster algorithms discovered with AI guided optimization

Warning: Tradeoff between numerical stability and number of operations.

Matrix norms: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\|A\|_p = \max \left\{ \|Ax\|_p : \underbrace{\|x\|_p = 1}_{\substack{m\text{-dim} \\ \text{vector norm}}} \right\}$$

$n\text{-dim vector norm.}$

Three values of p that are interesting.

$$p=2 \quad \text{Euclidean distance} \quad \|x\|_2 = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} p=1 \\ p=\infty \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{simpler to compute} \\ \text{also extra credit} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\|x\|_1 = |x_1| + \dots + |x_n|$$

$$\|x\|_\infty = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|\}$$

So what is $\|A\|_1$?

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_1 = 1$. What is $\|Ax\|_1$?

$Ax \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a vector

for each component
of that vector.

$$\|Ax\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m |[Ax]_i|$$

$$[Ax]_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_j \quad \text{definition of Matrix-vector mult.}$$

$$\boxed{\|Ax\|_1} = \sum_{i=1}^m \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij}x_j \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |A_{ij}| |x_j|$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |A_{ij}| \right) |x_j| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n M |x_j| = M \|x\|_1 = M$$

ignore this then what's left is $\|x\|_1 = 1$

where

$$M = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |A_{ij}| : j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

so far.

$$\|A\|_1 = \max \left\{ \underbrace{\|Ax\|_1}_{\text{ }} : \|x\|_1 = 1 \right\} \leq M$$

In fact $\|A\|_1 = M$. To see this

Now let l be the value of j such that $M = \sum_{i=1}^m |A_{il}|$

Consider $x = e_l \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where
standard basis. $\rightarrow e_l = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ l \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ lth element is 1 and all others 0.

$$\|e_l\|_1 = |0| + |0| + \dots + |1| + |0| + \dots + |0| = 1$$
lth term

$$Ae_l \approx l^{\text{th}} \text{ column of } A := \begin{bmatrix} A_{1l} \\ A_{2l} \\ \vdots \\ A_{ml} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Same}$$

$$\|Ae_l\|_1 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A_{1l} \\ A_{2l} \\ \vdots \\ A_{ml} \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \sum_{i=1}^m |A_{il}| = M$$

Thus

$$M = \|Ae_l\|_1 \leq \max \left\{ \|Ax\|_1 : \|x\|_1 = 1 \right\} \leq M$$

implies

$$\|A\|_1 = \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |A_{ij}| : j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

Theorem 2.7.6 : Matrix ∞ -norm and 1-norm

next time

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |A_{ij}|, \quad (2.7.9)$$

Same for square matrix

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n |A_{ij}|. \quad (2.7.10)$$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = ?$$

Next section is conditioning (again)

$$\text{relative error in the output} \leq k \text{ relative error in the input}$$

\uparrow
Condition number

Condition number:

$$\lim_{\tilde{x} \rightarrow x} \frac{|f(\tilde{x}) - f(x)|}{|f(x)|} \left| \frac{\tilde{x} - x}{x} \right| = k_f(x).$$

Then approximately

$$\frac{|f(\tilde{x}) - f(x)|}{|f(x)|} \approx k_f(x) \left| \frac{\tilde{x} - x}{x} \right| \leq k_f(x) \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\text{mach.}}$$

relative error inc.
bounded by $\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\text{mach.}}$

Same thing for solving $\mathbf{A}x = b$:

b input and, $f(b) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}b = x$ as output