

2. For each  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , let

$$x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}.$$

- (a) Show that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not Cauchy.
- (b) Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n| = 0$ .

(g) To show  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not Cauchy let  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrarily large. Then for  $n, m \geq n_0$  with  $n > m$

$$|x_n - x_m| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{k} \right| = \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{k}$$

Taking  $n = 2m$  then yields

$$|x_n - x_m| = \sum_{k=n+1}^{2m} \frac{1}{k} \geq \sum_{k=m+1}^{2m} \frac{1}{2m} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Thus, for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  and any  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n, m \geq n_0$ , namely take  $n = 2m$ , such that  $|x_n - x_m| \geq \varepsilon$ . This means  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not Cauchy.

(b) To show  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_{n+1} - x_n| = 0$  estimate as

$$|x_{n+1} - x_n| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \right| = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Since  $n+1 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then  $\frac{1}{n+1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

6. Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $0 < r < 1$  and suppose that

$$|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \leq r |x_{n+1} - x_n| \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Show that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy. [Hint: First show that  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \leq r^n |x_2 - x_1|$ ; then proceed as in Example 3.23.]

Claim that  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \leq r^n |x_2 - x_1|$ . We proceed by induction.

For the base case note that

$$|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \leq r |x_{n+1} - x_n|$$

becomes

$$|x_3 - x_2| \leq r |x_2 - x_1| \quad \text{for } n=1$$

which is exactly what's needed.

For the induction step suppose  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \leq r^n |x_2 - x_1|$ , we need to show that  $|x_{n+3} - x_{n+2}| \leq r^{n+1} |x_2 - x_1|$ .

Since

$$|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \leq r |x_{n+1} - x_n|$$

becomes

$$|x_{n+3} - x_{n+2}| \leq r |x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}|$$

when  $n$  is replaced by  $n+1$ , we estimate using the induction hypothesis as

$$|x_{n+3} - x_{n+2}| \leq r |x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \leq r (r^n |x_2 - x_1|) = r^{n+1} |x_2 - x_1|.$$

This completes the induction as proves the claim.

Now use the claim to show that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose  $n_0 \geq 2$  such that  $|x_2 - x_1| \frac{r^{n_0-1}}{1-r} < \varepsilon$ .

Note, such an  $n_0$  exists because  $0 < r < 1$  implies  $r^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus  $n, m \geq n_0$  with  $n > m$  implies

$$\begin{aligned}|x_n - x_m| &= |x_n - x_{n-1} + x_{n-1} - \dots + x_{m+1} - x_m| \\&\leq \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} |x_{k+1} - x_k| \approx \sum_{k=m-1}^{n-2} |x_{k+2} - x_{k+1}| \\&\leq \sum_{k=m-1}^{n-2} r^k |x_2 - x_1| = |x_2 - x_1| \sum_{k=m-1}^{n-2} r^k.\end{aligned}$$

Sum the geometric series  $S = \sum_{k=m-1}^{n-2} r^k$  as

$$\begin{aligned}S &= r^{m-1} + r^m + \dots + r^{n-2} \\rS &= \underline{r^m + r^{m+1} + \dots + r^{n-1}}$$

$$(1-r)S = r^{m-1} - r^{n-1}$$

$$\text{Therefore } S = \frac{r^{m-1} - r^{n-1}}{1-r} \text{ and}$$

$$|x_n - x_m| \leq |x_2 - x_1| \frac{r^{m-1} - r^{n-1}}{1-r}$$

$$\leq |x_2 - x_1| \frac{r^{n_0-1}}{1-r} \leq |x_2 - x_1| \frac{r^{n_0-1}}{1-r} \approx \varepsilon$$

for all  $m, n \geq n_0$ . Therefore  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy.

7. Let  $x_1 > 0$  and let  $x_{n+1} = 1/(2 + x_n)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

- (a) Use Exercise 6 to show that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy.
- (b) Find  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ .

(a) Claim there is  $r \in (0, 1)$  such that  $|x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| \leq r|x_{n+1} - x_n|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

First note since  $x_1 > 0$  that  $x_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Next estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+2} - x_{n+1}| &\geq \left| \frac{1}{2+x_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2+x_n} \right| = \left| \frac{2+x_n - 2-x_{n+1}}{(2+x_{n+1})(2+x_n)} \right| \\ &= \frac{|x_{n+1} - x_n|}{|2+x_{n+1}| |2+x_n|} \leq \frac{|x_{n+1} - x_n|}{|2| |2|} = r|x_{n+1} - x_n| \end{aligned}$$

where  $r = \frac{1}{4}$ . Since  $\frac{1}{4} \in (0, 1)$  the claim is proved. Therefore by the previous problem  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy.

(b) Since  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges, we know for some  $L \in \mathbb{R}$  that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L$$

Consequently

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2+x_n} = \frac{1}{2+L}$$

implies  $L = \frac{1}{2+L}$  or  $L^2 + 2L - 1 = 0$ . The roots of this quadratic are

$$L = \frac{-2 \pm \sqrt{8}}{2} = -1 \pm \sqrt{2}.$$

Since  $x_n > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we know  $L \geq 0$ . Consequently, we know since  $-1 - \sqrt{2} < 0$  that the limit is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = -1 + \sqrt{2}.$$

1. Use the method of Examples 3.24 and 3.25 to establish the following limits.

(d)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n - 6\sqrt{n}) = \infty$

Estimate for  $n \geq 49$  we have  $\sqrt{n} \geq 7$  and

$$n - 6\sqrt{n} = \sqrt{n}(\sqrt{n} - 6) \geq \sqrt{n}(7 - 6) = \sqrt{n}$$

Let  $\alpha > 0$  and choose  $n_0 > \max(49, \alpha^2)$ . Then for  $n \geq n_0$  we have  $\sqrt{n} > 7$  and  $\sqrt{n} > \alpha$ . It follows that

$$n - 6\sqrt{n} \geq \sqrt{n} > \alpha$$

and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n - 6\sqrt{n}) = \infty$ .

6. Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences of positive real numbers and suppose that  $x_n/y_n \rightarrow L$  where  $0 < L < \infty$ . Show that  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $y_n \rightarrow \infty$ . [Hint: Note that, eventually,  $\frac{1}{2}L < x_n/y_n < \frac{3}{2}L$ .]

Since  $L > 0$  then taking  $\varepsilon = \frac{L}{2}$  yields the neighborhood of  $L$  given by  $V = (L - \varepsilon, L + \varepsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{2}L, \frac{3}{2}L\right)$ .

Since  $\frac{x_n}{y_n} \rightarrow L$  then  $\left(\frac{x_n}{y_n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is eventually in  $\left(\frac{1}{2}L, \frac{3}{2}L\right)$ .

Thus, there is  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{2}L < \frac{x_n}{y_n} < \frac{3}{2}L$  for all  $n \geq n_1$ .

" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Claim that  $y_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  are positive, then

$$\frac{x_n}{y_n} < \frac{3}{2}L \text{ implies } y_n > \frac{2}{3L}x_n \text{ for } n \geq n_1.$$

Let  $\alpha > 0$  be arbitrarily large. Since  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  there is  $n_2 \geq n_1$  such that  $n \geq n_2$  implies  $x_n > \frac{3}{2}L\alpha$ .

It follows for  $n \geq n_2$  that

$$y_n > \frac{2}{3L}x_n > \frac{2}{3L} \cdot \frac{3}{2}L\alpha = \alpha.$$

Therefore  $y_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose  $y_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Claim that  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $x_n$  and  $y_n$  are positive, then

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}L < \frac{x_n}{y_n} \quad \text{implies} \quad x_n > \frac{1}{\lambda}Ly_n \quad \text{for } n \geq n_1$$

Let  $\alpha > 0$  be arbitrarily large. Since  $y_n \rightarrow \infty$  there is  $n_3 \geq n_1$  such that  $n \geq n_3$  implies  $y_n > \frac{2}{L}\alpha$ .

It follows for  $n \geq n_3$  that

$$x_n > \frac{1}{\lambda}Ly_n > \frac{1}{\lambda}L \cdot \frac{2}{L}\alpha = \alpha.$$

Therefore  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

1. Find  $\limsup x_n$  and  $\liminf x_n$  if  $x_n$  is given by

$$(c) (-1)^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right),$$

By definition

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{2k} & \text{if } n=2k \text{ is even} \\ -1 - \frac{1}{2k-1} & \text{if } n=2k-1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

As  $1 + \frac{1}{2k} \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $-1 - \frac{1}{2k-1} \rightarrow -1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  then

$$\bar{E} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\#} : x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ for some subsequence } (x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ of } (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}$$

$$E = \{-1, 1\}$$

Consequently

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sup E = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \inf E = -1.$$

4. Let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that

$$\liminf a_n + \liminf b_n \leq \liminf(a_n + b_n)$$

whenever the left side is defined.

One can mimic the proof of Proposition 3.8 in the book. Alternatively,

$$A = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\#} : a_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ for some subsequence } (a_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ of } (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}$$

$$B = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\#} : b_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ for some subsequence } (b_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ of } (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}$$

and

$$C = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\#} : a_{n_k} + b_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ for some subsequence } (a_{n_k} + b_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ of } (a_n + b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}.$$

By Exercise 2.2#5  $\sim\inf(S) = \sup(-S)$  where  $\sim S = \{\sim s : s \in S\}$ .

Remark, since 2.2#5 wasn't assigned, I'll prove it after.

Note further that

$$-A = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\#} : -a_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ for some subsequence } (-a_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ of } (-a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}$$

$$-B = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\#} : -b_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ for some subsequence } (-b_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ of } (-b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}$$

and

$$-C = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{\#} : -a_{n_k} - b_{n_k} \rightarrow x \text{ for some subsequence } (-a_{n_k} - b_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ of } (-a_n - b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -a_n = \sup(-A) = -\inf(A) = -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} -b_n = \sup(-B) = -\inf(B) = -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-a_n - b_n) = \sup(-C) = -\inf(C) = -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n).$$

Now applying Proposition 3.8 to the sequences  $(-a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(-b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  yields

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-a_n - b_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-a_n) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-b_n)$$

or equivalently that

$$-\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n) \leq -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_n)$$

Multiplying by  $-1$  then obtains

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n)$$

which was to be shown.