

$$F(x, y) = F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k)$$

Idea write $y = f(x)$ locally about (a, b)
so that

$$F(x, f(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in a neighborhood of } a.$$

Assume we can do this for $k-1$.

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \underbrace{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k}_{k-1})$$

extra

$$M^{kk} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_{k-1}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_{k-1}}{\partial y_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{k-1}}{\partial y_{k-1}} \end{bmatrix} \Big|_{(x, y) = (a, b)}$$

Need $\det(M^{kk}) \neq 0$ ← in general $\det(M^{kk})$ might be zero. In that case we'll have to re-label the equations or variables...

By the 'induction hypothesis', $g: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \xrightarrow[y_k]{} \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$ such that

$$F_1(x, g(x, y_k), y_k) = 0$$

⋮

$$F_{k-1}(x, g(x, y_k), y_k) = 0$$

for (x, y_k) in a neighborhood of (a, b_x)

Now we want to solve for y_k in terms of the x 's so that

$$F_K(x, g(x, y_K), y_K) = 0$$

To do this we apply implicit function theorem for one equation.

I need $\left. \frac{\partial F_K(x, g(x, y_K), y_K)}{\partial y_K} \right|_{(x, y_K) = (a, b_K)} \neq 0$

$$\left. \frac{\partial F_K(x, g(x, y_K), y_K)}{\partial y_K} \right|_{(x, y_K) = (a, b_K)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left[\left. \frac{\partial F_K}{\partial y_j}(x, g(x, y_K), y_K) \right|_{(x, y_K) = (a, b_K)} \frac{\partial g_j(x, y_K)}{\partial y_K} + \left. \frac{\partial F_K}{\partial y_K}(x, g(x, y_K), y_K) \right|_{(x, y_K) = (a, b_K)} \right]$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial F_K(x, g(x, y_K), y_K)}{\partial y_K} \right|_{(x, y_K) = (a, b_K)} = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} B_{kj} \frac{\partial g_j(a, b_K)}{\partial y_K} + B_{kk}$$

↑
need to invert this

Use implicit differentiation to solve for $\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial y_K}$ from the $k-1$ equations that were used to obtain g .

Cramer's rule.

$$\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial y_K} = \frac{\det M_{j,j}^{kk}}{\det M^{kk}}$$

$\left[\begin{array}{c} B_{1k} \\ \vdots \\ B_{k-1,k} \end{array} \right]$ replace the j th column of M^{kk} with.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_1} & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ \frac{\partial F_{k-1}}{\partial y_k} & \dots \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} B_{1,k} \\ \vdots \\ B_{k-1,k} \end{bmatrix} \dots \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial y_{k-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_{k-1}}{\partial y_{k-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$(x,y) = (a,b)$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} B_{1,1} & \dots & -B_{1,k} & \dots & B_{1,k-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ B_{k-1,1} & \dots & -B_{k-1,k} & \dots & B_{k-1,k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

\uparrow j th column of B
was replaced by the k th column.

Compare the determinant

of the above matrix to M^{kj} last row and j th column crossed out

$k-j-1$ sign changes because move the column to the end
involves swapping columns $k-j-1$ times

1 more sign change because of the negative sign.

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} B_{1,1} & \dots & -B_{1,k} & \dots & B_{1,k-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ B_{k-1,1} & \dots & -B_{k-1,k} & \dots & B_{k-1,k-1} \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{k-j} M^{kj}$$

That were used to obtain g.

Cramer's rule.

$$\frac{\partial g_j}{\partial y_k} =$$

$$\frac{\det M_{kj}^{kk} \left(\begin{bmatrix} B_{1,k} \\ \vdots \\ B_{k-1,k} \end{bmatrix} \right)}{\det M^{kk}}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{k-j} \det M^{kj}}{\det M^{kk}}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial F_k(x, g(x, y_k), y_k)}{\partial y_k} \right| = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} B_{kj} \frac{\partial g_j(a, b_k)}{\partial y_k} + B_{kk}$$

$(x, y_k) = (a, b_k)$

↑
need to write this

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} B_{kj} \frac{(-1)^{k-j} \det M^{kj}}{\det M^{kk}} + B_{kk} \frac{(-1)^{k+k} \det M^{kk}}{\det M^{kk}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\det M^{kk}} \sum_{j=1}^k B_{kj} (-1)^{k-j} \det M^{kj}$$

B_{kj} det M^{kj}
(-1)^{k-j} • 1 = (-1)^{k-j} (-1)^{2j}
= (-1)^{k+j}

By definition $\det B = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{k+j} B_{kj} \det M^{kj}$

Therefore since $\det B \neq 0$ by hypothesis, then -

$$\left| \frac{\partial F_k(x, g(x, y_k), y_k)}{\partial y_k} \right| = \frac{\det B}{\det M^{kk}} \neq 0$$

$(x, y_k) = (a, b_k)$

By the implicit function theorem for one equation there is a $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $y_k = g(x)$

$$F_k(x, g(x, g(x)), g(x)) = 0 \quad \text{in a neighborhood of } a$$

Now define $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_1(x) \\ f_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ f_{k-1}(x) \\ f_k(x) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x, g(x)) \\ g_2(x, g(x)) \\ \vdots \\ g_{k-1}(x, g(x)) \\ g(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

thus $y = f(x)$

Since g was obtain from the $k-1$ induction hypothesis then it's differentiable.

Since g came from the theorem for one equation then it's differentiable.

Thus f is differentiable (by the chain rule) and in all case one can use implicit differentiation to find the derivatives.



Integration ... review of 1 variable results...

A partition of $[a, b]$ is a set $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_J\}$ ^(ordered) such that $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_J = b$.

Math 310

$$U(P, f) = \sum_{j=1}^J M_j \Delta x_j = S_p(f)$$

$$M_j := \sup \{f(x) : x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]\}$$

$$\Delta x_j := x_j - x_{j-1}$$

Math 311

$$L(P, f) = \sum_{j=1}^J m_j \Delta x_j = s_p(f)$$

$$m_j := \inf \{f(x) : x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]\}$$