

HW1 due Sept 13

Turn in (page 33) 1.6#4

Practice (page 29) 1.5#5, 1.5#7, (page 33) 1.6#1ab, 1.6#6,  
(page 38) 1.7#6, 1.7#8

- §1.6 # 5. Define a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  recursively by  $x_1 = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $x_{k+1} = \sqrt{2 + x_k}$ . Show by induction that (a)  $x_k < 2$  and (b)  $x_k < x_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ . Then show that  $\lim x_k$  exists and evaluate it.

(a). Since  $x_1^2 = (\sqrt{2})^2 = 2 < 4 = 2^2$  it follows  $0 < x_1 < 2$ .

For induction suppose  $0 < x_k < 2$ , then

$$x_{k+1} = \sqrt{2+x_k} < \sqrt{2+2} = \sqrt{4} = 2$$

and

$$x_{k+1} = \sqrt{2+x_k} > \sqrt{2} > 0.$$

Thus  $0 < x_{k+1} < 2$  which completes the induction. Therefore we have  $0 < x_k < 2$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(b). Since  $x_1 = \sqrt{2}$  then  $x_2 = \sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}} > \sqrt{2} = x_1$ , so  $x_1 < x_2$ .

For induction suppose  $x_k < x_{k+1}$ , then

$x_{k+2} = \sqrt{2+x_{k+1}} > \sqrt{2+x_k} = x_{k+1}$ , so  $x_{k+1} < x_{k+2}$  which completes the induction.

Therefore  $x_k < x_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It follows that  $x_k$  is a bounded monotonically increasing sequence and consequently by the monotonic convergence theorem the limit of  $x_k$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  exists,

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be the limit so  $x_k \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now,

$$\alpha = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k+1} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\alpha + x_k} = \sqrt{\alpha + \alpha}$$

implies

$$\alpha^2 = \alpha + \alpha \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha^2 - \alpha - 2 = 0$$

Setting  $a=1$ ,  $b=-1$  and  $c=-2$  yields that

$$\alpha = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1+8}}{2} = \frac{1 \pm 3}{2} = -1 \text{ or } 2$$

Since  $\alpha > 0$  it follows that  $x_k \rightarrow 2$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

- Ex 7. Let  $\{x_k\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $x$  a point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that some subsequence of  $\{x_k\}$  converges to  $x$  if and only if every ball centered at  $x$  contains  $x_k$  for infinitely many values of  $k$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ " Consider the sequence of balls given by  $B_n = B\left(\frac{1}{n}, x\right)$ .

Since  $\{x_k\} \cap B_1 \neq \emptyset$  there is  $k_1$  such that  $x_{k_1} \in B_1$ .

Since  $\{k : x_k \in B_2\}$  is infinite then  $\{x_k : k > k_1\} \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

Therefore, there is  $k_2 > k_1$  such that  $x_{k_2} \in B_2$ .

Given  $x_{k_1} \in B_1$  note that  $\{k : x_k \in B_{n+1}\}$  being infinite implies  $\{x_k : k > k_n\} \cap B_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore there is  $k_{n+1} > k_n$

such that  $x_{k_{n+1}} \in B_{n+1}$

By induction we obtain a subsequence  $x_{k_n}$  such that  
 $x_{k_n} \in B_{k_n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Claim  $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $N$  so large  $\frac{1}{N} < \epsilon$ . Since for any subsequence  $k_n \geq n$  we have  $\frac{1}{k_n} \leq \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N}$  for  $n \geq N$ .

Now since  $x_{k_n} \in B_{k_n} = B\left(\frac{1}{k_n}, x\right)$  then

$$|x_{k_n} - x| < \frac{1}{k_n} \leq \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

This means  $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose there is a subsequence such that  $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Consider any ball  $B = B(r, x)$  where  $r > 0$ .

Since  $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$  by definition of convergence there is  $N$  large enough such that

$$|x_{k_n} - x| < r \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

But then  $x_{k_n} \in B$  for all  $n \geq N$  and in particular

$$\{k_n : n \geq N\} \subseteq \{k : x_k \in B\}.$$

Since the set on the left is infinite then so is the one on the right.

§1.6\*

1. Give an example of

- a closed set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(S)$  is not closed;
- an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a continuous function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(U)$  is not open.

(a) Let  $S = [0, \infty)$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}$ .

Then  $S$  is closed and

$f([0, \infty)) = (0, 1]$  is not closed.

(b) Let  $S = (-1, 1)$  and  $f(x) = 2$

Then  $S$  is open and

$f((-1, 1)) = \{2\}$  is not open,

4. Suppose  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is compact,  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and  $f(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in S$ . Show that there is a number  $c > 0$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) \geq c$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in S$ .

For contradiction, if not then there is a sequence  $x_k \in S$  such that  $f(x_k) < \frac{1}{k}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $S$  is bounded then the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem implies there is a convergent subsequence  $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$  and  $S$  closed implies  $x \in S$ .

By hypothesis  $x \in S$  implies  $f(x) > 0$ .

By continuity  $f(x_{k_n}) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\varepsilon = f(x)$  and choose  $N_1$  large enough so that

$$|f(x_{k_n}) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for } n \geq N_1,$$

and  $N_2$  large enough that

$$\frac{1}{k_n} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for } n \geq N_2$$

Let  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ . It follows that

$$\varepsilon = f(x) \leq |f(x) - f(x_{k_n})| + f(x_{k_n}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{k_n} < \varepsilon$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore there is  $c > 0$  such that  $f(x) \geq c$  for every  $x \in S$ .

6. The **distance** between two sets  $U, V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined to be

$$d(U, V) = \inf \{ |x - y| : x \in U, y \in V \}.$$

- a. Show that  $d(U, V) = 0$  if either of the sets  $U, V$  contains a point in the closure of the other one.
- b. Show that if  $U$  is compact,  $V$  is closed, and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ , then  $d(U, V) > 0$ .
- c. Give an example of two closed sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that have no point in common but satisfy  $d(U, V) = 0$ .

(a) If  $U \cap \bar{V} \neq \emptyset$  then there is  $x \in U$  and  $y_n \in V$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that

$$0 \leq d(U, V) = \inf \{ |x - y| : x \in U, y \in V \} \leq |x - y_n| \text{ for all } n.$$

Since  $y_n \rightarrow x$  it follows that  $|x - y_n| \rightarrow 0$ .

Therefore  $d(U, V) = 0$ .

The case  $\bar{U} \cap V \neq \emptyset$  is identical because

$$d(U, V) = \inf \{ |x - y| : x \in U, y \in V \} = \inf \{ |x - y| : x \in V, y \in U \} = d(V, U).$$

(b) Let  $U$  be compact,  $V$  closed and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

Suppose for contradiction that  $d(U, V) = 0$ . Then there would be  $x_k \in U$  and  $y_k \in V$  such that  $|x_k - y_k| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $U$  is bounded, then by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem there is a subsequence  $x_{k_n} \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $U$  is also closed then  $x \in U$ .

Now  $|y_{k_n} - x| \leq |x_{k_n} - x| + |x_{k_n} - y_{k_n}| \rightarrow 0$  implies that also  $y_{k_n} \rightarrow x$ . Since  $V$  is closed this would mean that  $x \in V$ . But then  $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$  which contradicts the hypothesis  $W \cap V = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $d(W, V) > 0$ .

(C) Let  $U = \{(x, \frac{1}{x}) : x \geq 1\}$  and  $V = \{(x, -\frac{1}{x}) : x \geq 1\}$ .

Claim  $U$  is closed. To show this we need to prove every convergent sequence in  $U$  has its limit also in  $U$ .

Suppose  $u_n \in U$  with  $u_n \rightarrow u$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Write  $u_n = (x_n, \frac{1}{x_n})$  and  $u = (x, y)$ . Then  $u_n \rightarrow u$  implies that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  since  $x_n \geq 1$  then  $x \geq 1$ .

Let  $f: [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $f(t) = \frac{1}{t}$ . Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[1, \infty)$  then  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies  $u_n = (x_n, \frac{1}{x_n}) \rightarrow (x, \frac{1}{x})$ . Uniqueness of limits now implies  $y = \frac{1}{x}$  and so  $u = (x, y) \in U$ .

Therefore  $U$  is closed. Similar arguments imply  $V$  is closed.

Clearly  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . To see  $d(U, V) = 0$  note that

$$\inf \{|x - y| : x \in U, y \in V\} \leq \left| \left( k, \frac{1}{k} \right) - \left( k, -\frac{1}{k} \right) \right| = \frac{2}{k} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

6. Show that a closed set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is disconnected if and only if it is the union of two disjoint nonempty closed subsets.

" $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is disconnected. Then there is a disconnection  $S_1, S_2$  such that  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ ,  $S_1 \cap \bar{S}_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $\bar{S}_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$  and  $S_1 \neq \emptyset, S_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

Claim that  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are closed. If  $S_1$  were not closed, then there would be  $x_n \in S_1$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $x \notin S_1$ . Since  $\bar{S}_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$  then  $x \notin S_2$ . Therefore  $x \notin S_1 \cup S_2 = S$ .

On the other hand  $S$  closed and  $x_n \in S_1 \subseteq S$  implies  $x \in S$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $S_1$  is closed.

A similar argument shows  $S_2$  is closed.

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose  $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ , where  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $S_2 \neq \emptyset$  and both are closed. Since  $S_1$  is closed then  $S_1 \subseteq \bar{S}_1$ . It follows  $\bar{S}_1 \cap S_2 = S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ .

On the other hand  $S_2$  closed implies  $S_2 \cap \bar{S}_1 = S_2 \cap S_1 = \emptyset$ . This implies  $S_1, S_2$  is a disconnection of  $S$  so  $S$  is disconnected.

8. Show that the closure of a connected set is connected.

Suppose  $S$  is connected but for contradiction  $\bar{S}$  is disconnected.

Let  $T_1, T_2$  be a disconnection of  $\bar{S}$ . Therefore

$$\bar{S} = T_1 \cup T_2, \quad T_1 \neq \emptyset, \quad T_2 \neq \emptyset, \quad T_1 \cap \bar{T}_2 = \emptyset \text{ and } \bar{T}_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset.$$

Define

$$S_1 = T_1 \cap S \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = T_2 \cap S$$

Claim that  $S_1, S_2$  is a disconnection of  $S$ . First note,

$$S = \overline{S} \cap S = (T_1 \cup T_2) \cap S = (T_1 \cap S) \cup (T_2 \cap S) = S_1 \cup S_2$$

Suppose  $x \in \overline{S}_1$ . Then there is  $x_n \in S_1$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

Now  $S_1 = T_1 \cap S$  implies  $x_n \in T_1$ , consequently  $x \in \overline{T}_1$ .

It follows that  $\overline{S}_1 \subseteq \overline{T}_1$ . Now

$$\overline{S}_1 \cap \overline{S}_2 \subseteq \overline{T}_1 \cap \overline{S}_2 = \overline{T}_1 \cap (T_2 \cap S) \subseteq \overline{T}_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$$

Similarly  $\overline{S}_2 \subseteq \overline{T}_1$ , so

$$S_1 \cap \overline{S}_2 \subseteq S_1 \cap \overline{T}_2 = (T_1 \cap S) \cap \overline{T}_2 \subseteq T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset.$$

What's left is to show  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $S_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

By the previous problem we know  $T_1$  is closed since  $\overline{S}$  is closed.

Thus, if  $S_1 = \emptyset$  then  $S = S_1$  implies  $\overline{S} = \overline{S}_1 \subseteq \overline{T}_1$ .

Therefore  $\overline{S} \cap T_2 \subseteq \overline{T}_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$  and  $T_2 \subseteq \overline{S}$  implies  $T_2 = \emptyset$  which contradicts  $T_1, T_2$  being a disconnection. Thus  $S_1 \neq \emptyset$

Similarly  $S_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

This implies  $S_1, S_2$  is a disconnection of  $S$ . However  $S$  is connected by hypothesis.

Therefore, the only alternative is  $\overline{S}$  is also connected.

Thus the closure of a connected set is connected.