

□ **2.42 Theorem.** Suppose that f is differentiable on an open connected set S and $\nabla f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in S$. Then f is constant on S .

Proof: Idea Fix $a \in S$ and

define $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} S_1 = \{x \in S : f(x) = f(a)\} \\ S_2 = S \setminus S_1 = \{x \in S : f(x) \neq f(a)\} \end{array} \right.$

We know that S is connected so S_1, S_2 better not be a disconnection of S .

Claim S_2 is open: Let $x \in S_2$. Since $S_2 \subseteq S$ then there is $\rho > 0$ such that $B(\rho, x) \subseteq S$.

Since f is continuous Given $\epsilon = \frac{|f(x) - f(a)|}{2} > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - y| < \delta$ and $y \in S$ implies that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$.

$S = S_1 \cup S_2$
 $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$
 try to show $S_2 = \emptyset$.
 If $S_1 \cap \bar{S}_2 = \emptyset$
 and $\bar{S}_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$
 then S_1, S_2 would be a disconnection unless $S_2 = \emptyset$.

means $f(x) \neq f(a)$

Consequently

$$|f(y) - f(a)| \geq |f(x) - f(a)| - |f(x) - f(y)| \geq 2\epsilon - \epsilon = \epsilon > 0$$

so $y \in S_2$. $r = \min(\delta, \rho)$

then $B(r, x) \subseteq S$ and every $y \in B(r, x)$ is also in S_2

So $B(r, x) \subseteq S_2$

This means S_2 is open... Then $\bar{S}_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. □

Next show $S_1 \cap \bar{S}_2 = \emptyset$

Generalize this

□ **2.41 Corollary.** Suppose f is differentiable on an open convex set S and $\nabla f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in S$. Then f is constant on S .

Claim S_1 is open. Recall $S_1 = \{x \in S : f(x) = f(a)\}$.

Let $x \in S_1$. Since $S_1 \subseteq S$ and S is open, then there is $\rho > 0$ such that $B(\rho, x) \subseteq S$.

Since $B(\rho, x)$ is convex then Corollary 2.11 implies that f is constant on $B(\rho, x)$.

Thus $y \in B(\rho, x)$ implies $f(y) = f(x) = f(a)$. Thus $B(\rho, x) \subseteq S_1$.

This means S_1 is open and so $S_1 \cap \widetilde{S_2} = \emptyset$.

Since $S_2 \neq \emptyset$ would contradict the assumption S is connected then we must have that $S_2 = \emptyset$ and so $S_1 = S$.

Therefore f is constant on all of S .

Review Cramer's rule (from linear algebra).

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with $\det A \neq 0$. Then $Ax = b$ has a unique solution given by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where

$$x_i = \frac{\det A_i(b)}{\det A} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

and $A_i(b)$ is the matrix A with the i th column replaced by b .

More consequences of the chain rule ...

Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and consider the solution set
differentiable

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : F(x) = 0\} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : F(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0\}$$

Suppose there is a $g: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that
differentiable.

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n, g(x_1, \dots, x_n)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S$$

or

$$F(x, g(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in S.$$

$$\frac{\partial F(x, g(x))}{\partial x_i} = \partial_i F(x, g(x)) + \partial_{n+1} F(x, g(x)) \partial_i g(x) = 0$$

solve for this

Therefore if $\partial_{n+1} F(x, g(x)) \neq 0$ then

$$\partial_i g(x) = \frac{-\partial_i F(x, g(x))}{\partial_{n+1} F(x, g(x))}$$

*can view condition on g .
or implicit way to find ∇g .*

Idea want to differentiate a function $\phi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
subject to the constraint $F(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0$.

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, g(x_1, \dots, x_n))$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi(x, g(x))}{\partial x_i} = \partial_i \phi(x, g(x)) + \partial_{n+1} \phi(x, g(x)) \partial_i g(x)$$

$$\approx \partial_i \phi(x, g(x)) - \partial_{n+1} \phi(x, g(x)) \frac{\partial_i F(x, g(x))}{\partial_{n+1} F(x, g(x))}$$

Example: page 75

EXAMPLE 2. Let $w = x^2 + y^2 + z$, and suppose x, y, z are constrained to satisfy $x + y + z = 0$. If we take x and y as independent variables, then

$$f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z$$

$$F(x, y, z) = x + y + z$$

The constraint $F(x, y, z) = 0$ is satisfied

$$\text{when } z = g(x, y) = -x - y.$$

$$F(x, y, g(x, y)) = x + y - x - y = 0.$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)_{y \text{ const}} = \frac{\partial f(x, y, g(x, y))}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial (x^2 + y^2 - x - y)}{\partial x} = 2x - 1$$

Note one could also solve for y in terms of x and z

$$y = -x - z$$

$$F(x, -x - z, z) = x - x - z + z = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)_{z \text{ const}} = \frac{\partial (x^2 + (x+z)^2 + z)}{\partial x} = 2x + 2(x+z) = 4x + 2z$$

a tricky point that must be confronted.

Generalized

$$F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) = 0$$

$$G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) = 0$$

for all $(x, y) \in S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$

assume $F: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $G: \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $v: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
are all differentiable.

$$\frac{\partial F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y))}{\partial x} =$$

$$\partial_1 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) + \partial_3 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \partial_x u(x, y) + \partial_4 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \partial_x v(x, y) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y))}{\partial x} =$$

$$\partial_1 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) + \partial_3 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \partial_x u(x, y) + \partial_4 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \partial_x v(x, y) = 0$$

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_3 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) & \partial_4 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \\ \partial_3 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) & \partial_4 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} -\partial_1 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \\ -\partial_1 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \end{bmatrix} \quad x = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x u(x, y) \\ \partial_x v(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$$

Use Cramer's rule to solve for $\partial_x u$ and $\partial_x v$.

$$\partial_x u = \frac{\det A_1(b)}{\det A} = \frac{-\det \begin{bmatrix} \partial_1 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) & \partial_4 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \\ \partial_1 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) & \partial_4 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \end{bmatrix}}{\det \begin{bmatrix} \partial_3 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) & \partial_4 F(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \\ \partial_3 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) & \partial_4 G(x, y, u(x, y), v(x, y)) \end{bmatrix}}$$

$\partial_x v$, $\partial_z u$ and $\partial_z v$ can be found the same way.

For next time read From the beginning of

2.7 Taylor's Theorem up to

2.68 Theorem (Taylor's Theorem in Several Variables). Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is of class C^k on an open convex set S . If $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{h} \in S$, then