

## eigenvector-eigenvalue problem

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

① Solved  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$  for  $\lambda$

This is a polynomial in  $\lambda$   
of degree  $n$ . Because one of the  $n!$  terms  
which appear in the definition of determinant  
involve multiplying the elements on the  
diagonal of  $A - \lambda I$  together.

The fundamental theorem of algebra states that  
a polynomial of degree  $n$  has  $n$  roots (counted  
by multiplicity). Also note if the matrix  $A$  is  
chosen randomly the chances of a repeated root  
is statistically 0. Matrices that come from application  
are not random and may lead to repeated roots.

→ Simplest case... there are  $n$  eigenvalues  
all of them are different.

label them  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_n$

In the example

$$\text{Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u} \quad \lambda_1 = 7, \quad x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -4, \quad x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

for each eigenvalue there is an eigenvector.

Thus

$$A\mathbf{x}_1 = \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1, A\mathbf{x}_2 = \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, A\mathbf{x}_n = \lambda_n \mathbf{x}_n$$

Observation: the eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues are linearly independent.

By contradiction, suppose the  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$ 's were dependent.

then

$$c_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{x}_n = 0$$

with not all of the  $c_i = 0$ . Suppose  $c_k \neq 0$ .

Now use the fact that the  $\mathbf{x}_i$ 's are eigenvectors...

$$A(c_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{x}_n) = A0$$

$$c_1 A\mathbf{x}_1 + c_2 A\mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + c_n A\mathbf{x}_n = 0$$

$$c_1 \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n \mathbf{x}_n = 0$$

By contradiction, suppose the  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i$ 's were dependent.

Choose  $p$  so that  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_p$  are independent  
but  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{p+1}$  are dependent.

Thus

$$c_{p+1} \mathbf{x}_{p+1} = c_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + c_p \mathbf{x}_p$$

and so

$$A c_{p+1} \mathbf{x}_{p+1} = A(c_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \dots + c_p \mathbf{x}_p)$$

$$\lambda_{p+1}x_{p+1} = c_1\lambda_1x_1 + c_2\lambda_2x_2 + \dots + c_p\lambda_p x_p$$

$$\lambda_{p+1}x_{p+1} = \lambda_{p+1}(c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_p x_p)$$

and so

$$\lambda_{p+1}x_{p+1} = c_1\lambda_{p+1}x_1 + c_2\lambda_{p+1}x_2 + \dots + c_p\lambda_{p+1} x_p$$

Subtract

$$\lambda_{p+1}x_{p+1} = c_1\lambda_1x_1 + c_2\lambda_2x_2 + \dots + c_p\lambda_p x_p$$

$$0 = c_1(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_1)x_1 + c_2(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_2)x_2 + \dots + c_p(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_p)x_p$$

Since the  $\lambda_i$ 's are all different then

$$\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_i \neq 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, p$$

Therefore not all  $c_i(\lambda_{p+1} - \lambda_i) = 0$  so  
that implies  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  are dependent...  
which is a contradiction... therefore

the eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues are linearly independent.