

Solve by Cramer's rule.

$$\begin{aligned} 3. \quad 3x_1 - 2x_2 &= 3 \\ -4x_1 + 6x_2 &= -5 \end{aligned}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_i = \frac{\det A_i(b)}{\det A}$$

$$\det A_1(b) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \cdot 6 - (-2)(-5) = 18 - 10 = 8$$

$$\det A_2(b) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ -4 & -5 \end{bmatrix} = 3(-5) - (3)(-4) = -15 + 12 = -3$$

$$\det A = \det \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \cdot 6 - (-2)(-4) = 18 - 8 = 10$$

$$x_1 = \frac{\det A_1(b)}{\det A} = \frac{8}{10} = \frac{4}{5}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\det A_2(b)}{\det A} = \frac{-3}{10}$$

Answer $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4/5 \\ -3/10 \end{bmatrix}$

Solve using Augmented Matrix (for comparison)

$$[A|b] = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & 3 \\ -4 & 6 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 10/3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$r_2 \leftarrow r_2 + \frac{4}{3}r_1$$

$$6 - \frac{8}{3} = \frac{18-8}{3} = \frac{10}{3}$$

$$-5 + 4 = -1$$

$$r_2 \leftarrow \frac{3}{10}r_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & \frac{12}{5} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{4}{5} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$r_1 \leftarrow r_1 + 2r_2$$

$$3 - \frac{6}{10} = 3 - \frac{3}{5} = \frac{15-3}{5} = \frac{12}{5}$$

$$r_1 \leftarrow \frac{1}{3} r_1$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4}{5} \\ -\frac{3}{10} \end{bmatrix}$$

When the size of the matrix is larger, then Cramer's rule is proportionately more and more work compared to Gauss-elimination

What can we do with Cramer's rule? Get a closed form solution for the inverse. (Theoretical result because not practical for large matrices).

For example the matrix may have variables of other parameters in it and you want to see how those parameters affect the solution. Then use Cramer's rule.

$$f(x) = Ax$$

$$f^{-1}(x) = A^{-1}x$$

$$A = \left[f(e_1) \mid f(e_2) \mid \dots \mid f(e_n) \right]$$

$$A^{-1} = \left[f^{-1}(e_1) \mid f^{-1}(e_2) \mid \dots \mid f^{-1}(e_n) \right]$$

Idea: use Cramer's rule to compute $f^{-1}(e_j)$ for $j=1, \dots, n$

Compute these by solving $Ax=b$ for $b=e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n$

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

$$Ax = b$$

by Cramer's rule

$$x_i =$$

$$\frac{\det A_i(b)}{\det A}$$

column of A

i th entry of the column vector is like the row

Note \bar{i} is about rows on the left

i is about columns on the right

that's ok because A is square, but weird.

Given A find A^{-1} :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 & -7 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve $Ax = e_1$ (solve $Ax = e_2$ solve $Ax = e_3$)

$$x_i = \frac{\det A_i(e_1)}{\det A}$$

$$x_i = \frac{\det A_i(e_2)}{\det A}$$

$$x_i = \frac{\det A_i(e_3)}{\det A}$$

$$A^{-1} =$$

$$\frac{\det A_1(e_1)}{\det A}$$

$$\frac{\det A_1(e_2)}{\det A}$$

$$\frac{\det A_1(e_3)}{\det A}$$

$$\frac{\det A_2(e_1)}{\det A}$$

$$\frac{\det A_2(e_2)}{\det A}$$

$$\frac{\det A_2(e_3)}{\det A}$$

$$\frac{\det A_3(e_1)}{\det A}$$

$$\frac{\det A_3(e_2)}{\det A}$$

$$\frac{\det A_3(e_3)}{\det A}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 & -7 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det A_1(e_1) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 5 & -7 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{1+1} \cdot 1 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -7 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(1,1) position

$$\det A_2(e_1) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & 0 & -7 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{1+2} \cdot 1 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -7 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(1,2)

$$\det A_3(e_1) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 5 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{1+3} \cdot 1 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(1,3) position

$$\det A_i(e_j) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{i+j} \cdot 1 \cdot \det A_{ij} = C_{ji}$$

(j,i) position

recall

$$i-j \text{ Cofactor } C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ij}$$

Note indices are switched from what you'd expect here...

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\det A_1(e_1)}{\det A} & \frac{\det A_1(e_2)}{\det A} & \frac{\det A_1(e_3)}{\det A} \\ \frac{\det A_2(e_1)}{\det A} & \frac{\det A_2(e_2)}{\det A} & \frac{\det A_2(e_3)}{\det A} \\ \frac{\det A_3(e_1)}{\det A} & \frac{\det A_3(e_2)}{\det A} & \frac{\det A_3(e_3)}{\det A} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{21} & C_{31} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{32} \\ C_{13} & C_{23} & C_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, we have this formula for the inverse,

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{21} & \cdots & C_{n1} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{1n} & C_{2n} & \cdots & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

The matrix of cofactors on the right side of (4) is called the **adjugate** (or **classical adjoint**) of A , denoted by $\text{adj } A$. (The term *adjoint* also has another meaning in advanced texts on linear transformations.) The next theorem simply restates (4).