Math 466/666: Homework Assignment 2

This homework uses the vector and matrix 2-norms to explore some properties of matrices, eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Note that you will need to use Julia or similar software for one of these problems.

Students are encouraged to work together and consult resources outside of the required textbook for this assignment. Please cite any sources you consulted, including Wikipedia, other books, online discussion groups as well as personal communications. Be prepared to independently answer questions concerning the material on quizzes and exams.

Unless a disability makes it difficult, present all pencil-and-paper work in your own hand writing. To do this scan handwritten pages using a cell phone, document camera or flatbed scanner. Alternatively, you may write on a digital tablet with a writing stylus. If a computer was used to solve any part of a problem, include the code, input and output. Please upload your work as a single pdf file to WebCampus.

Given a matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ recall that the matrix 2-norm is defined as

$$||A||_2 = \max\left\{ ||Ax||_2 : ||x||_2 = 1 \right\}$$

where the vector 2-norms $||Ax||_2$ and $||x||_2$ are given by

$$||Ax||_2 = \sqrt{(Ax)^T Ax}$$
 and $||x||_2 = \sqrt{x^T x}$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

For convenience of notation we will, henceforth, drop the subscripts and write

 $||A|| = ||A||_2$, $||Ax|| = ||Ax||_2$ and $||x|| = ||x||_2$.

- **1.** Show that $||I|| \ge 1$ where I is the identity operator.
- **2.** Let $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ be invertible. Show that $||A|| ||A^{-1}|| \ge 1$.
- **3.** Define

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Use the definition of the matrix 2-norm to compute ||A|| and $||A^T||$.

4. Let $A \in \mathbf{R}^{7\times 4}$ be a matrix with entries chosen randomly (and independently) from the uniform distribution on the interval [-1, 1]. Use any numerical means you prefer to compute ||A|| and $||A^T||$ for three different samples of the random matrices just described. For example, in Julia you could enter the commands using LinearAlgebra
A=2*rand(7,4).-1;
opnorm(A)
opnorm(A')

three times. What relationship between ||A|| and $||A^T||$ do you notice? Please include all program listings and computer output as part of your answer for this question.

5. Given $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ let $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ be unit vectors such that

||Ax|| = ||A|| and $||A^Ty|| = ||A^T||.$

Thus x and y have been chosen to be vectors for which the maximum in the definition of the matrix 2-norm is attained.

(i) Use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to prove that

$$||Ax||^2 \le ||A^T Ax||$$
 and $||A^T y||^2 \le ||AA^T y||$.

(ii) By repeated applications of the definition of the norm it follows that

$$||AA^Ty|| \le ||A|| ||A^T||$$
 and $||A^TAx|| \le ||A^T|| ||A||.$

Explain why $||AA^Ty|| = ||A^TAx||$ and finally why $||A|| = ||A^T||$.

6. Let $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ set $B = A^T A$ and define

$$\lambda = \max\left\{ x^T B x : \|x\| = 1 \right\}.$$

- (i) Explain why $\lambda \ge 0$ and show that $||A|| = ||A^T|| = \sqrt{\lambda}$.
- (ii) Choose $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ to be a unit vector such that $\xi^T B \xi = \lambda$ and show that $||B\xi|| \leq \lambda$.
- (iii) Expand the inner product $(B\xi \lambda\xi)^T (B\xi \lambda\xi)$ and show that $||B\xi \lambda\xi|| = 0$.
- (iv) Is it true or false that ξ must be an eigenvector of B with λ as an eigenvalue? If true explain why; if false provide a counter example.
- 7. [Extra Credit and for Math 666] Let $B \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ be any symmetric matrix with $B^T = B$ and choose $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\lambda = \max\{x^T B x : ||x|| = 1\}$ and $\xi^T B \xi = \lambda$.
 - (i) Find an example of a matrix B such that $\lambda < 0$.
 - (ii) Show for any $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$ that $v^T B v \leq \lambda v^T v$.
 - (iii) Set $v = \xi + \epsilon w$ where $w \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$ and simplify to prove that

$$2w^T (B\xi - \lambda\xi) \le \epsilon (\lambda w^T w - w^T B w).$$

(iv) Now set $w = B\xi - \lambda\xi$. Is it true or false that ξ must be an eigenvector of B with λ as an eigenvalue? If true explain why; if false provide a counter example.

#1. Show that
$$\|I\| \ge 1$$
 ashore I is the identify
operator.
By definition
 $\|I\| = \max \{ \|Ix\| : \|x\| = 1 \}$
 $= \max \{ \|Ix\| : \|x\| = \{ \} = 1 \}.$

So, in fact, 11 II = 1.

Bet $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a unit vector. Since $z \neq 0$ then $A^{t}x \neq 0$, for otherwise $A^{t}x = 0$ would imply $z = AA^{-1}x = A0 = 0$ which is impossible. Define $y = \frac{A^{-1}x}{||A^{+1}x||}$. Then $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is also a unit vector. By definition of matrix norm $||Ay|| \leq ||A||$ and $||A^{-1}x|| \leq ||A^{-1}||$. # 2 continues ...

Now, $\frac{1}{\|A^{4}x\|} = \frac{\|x\|}{\|A^{-1}x\|} = \frac{\|AA^{-1}x\|}{\|A^{4}x\|} = \|Ay\| \le \|A\|$ implies $1 \le \|A\|\| \|A^{-1}x\| \le \|A\|\|A^{-1}\|$ which was to be shown.

1

#3 Define

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Use the definition of the matrix 2-norm
to compute 11 All and 11 A^T 11.
Note that for $x \in \mathbb{R}^5$ such that $11x11 = 1$
we have
$$Ax = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 \\ 3x_2 \\ 4x_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Therefore
$$|angest|$$

 $||Ax||^2 = |Ax_1^2 + 9x_2^2 + 1bx_3^2$
 $\leq |b(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)$
 $\leq |b(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2)$
 $\leq |b(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_9^2 + x_5^2)$
 $= |b||x||^2 = |b|.$
From this it follows that

continues ... #3 ||A|| = max 3 ||Ax|| : ||x|| = 13 $\leq \sqrt{16} = 4$ On the other hand, taking $x = \left| \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right| \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ yields à unitrector ||x||=1 Audr that $Ax = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ In particular, for this particular Unit vector || Ax1 = ||[?]|= 4. Consequently 11A1 > 4. It follows that || A || = 4.

 $\neq 3$ continues... $\overline{A^{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

If yER3 we have is a unit vector 11 y 11 = 1 $\begin{array}{c} A^{T}y = \begin{bmatrix} ay_{1} \\ 3y_{2} \\ 4y_{3} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$

Therefore $||ATy||^{2} = Ay_{1}^{2} + 9y_{2}^{2} + 1by_{3}^{2} + 0^{2} + 0^{2}$ $\leq 16(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2) = (6||y_1|^2 = 16$ Consequently $||A^T|| \le 4$. On the other hand taking $y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ yields that 11 A'y 11 = 7 50 11 AT 11 = 4. It again follows that 11 AT 11=4.

466hw2p4

December 15, 2020

Homework 2 Problem 4

4. Let $A \in \mathbf{R}^{7 \times 4}$ be a matrix with entries chosen randomly (and independently) from the uniform distribution on the interval [-1, 1]. Use any numerical means you prefer to compute ||A|| and $||A^T||$ for three different samples of the random matrices just described.

```
[1]: using LinearAlgebra
```

```
[7]: for i=1:3
```

```
A=2*rand(7,4).-1
println("Random Matrix ",i)
println(" |A| = ",opnorm(A))
println("|A^T| = ",opnorm(A'))
println("")
end
```

```
Random Matrix 1
   |A| = 2.013200448215746
|A^T| = 2.0132004482157457
Random Matrix 2
   |A| = 2.3881749141483133
|A^T| = 2.388174914148313
Random Matrix 3
   |A| = 2.1603780237379278
|A^T| = 2.1603780237379273
```

Note, up to rounding errors, that $||A|| = ||A^T||$.

[]:

#5 Given AER^{man} let xER^m and YER^m be whit vectors puck that ||Ax||= ||A|| and ||ATy||= ||AT||. (1) Use the Cauchy-Schwarz negreality to prove NAX/2° = 11ATAX/1 and 11 Ay/1° = 11AATy/1 By definition of the vector norm $\|Ax\|^{2} = (Ax)'(Ax) = x^{T}A^{T}Ax$ $= x^{T}(A^{T}Ax).$ Now, Cauchy-Schwarz gives $|x^{T}(A^{T}Ax)| \leq ||x|| ||A^{T}Ax||$ Consequently, since 112011=1, then $\|Ax\|^2 \leq \|A^T Ax\|.$

•

#5 (ii) Continues ... Since II A ATY II < II A II (IATI I then $||AATy||^2 \le ||A||^2 ||AT||^2$ $= ||A_{x}||^{2} ||A^{T}y||^{2}$ $\leq \|A^{T}Ax\| \|AA^{T}y\|$. It tollows that $\|AA^Ty\| \leq \|A^TAx\|$ Similarly $||A^{T}A_{x}||^{2} \leq ||A^{T}||^{2} ||A||^{2} =$ $= ||ATy||^2 ||Ax||^2 \le ||AATy|| ||ATAx||$ implies ||ATAx|| < ||AATy||. Putting these two inequalities together shows that IATAX II = IIAATy II.

#5m) For the second equality $\|A\|^{2} = \|Ax\|^{2} \leq \|A^{T}Ax\| \leq \|A^{T}\|A^{T}\|$ so IIAII< IIATII and similarly $\|A^{T}\|^{2} = \|A^{T}y\|^{2} \leq \|AA^{T}y\| \leq \|A\|\|A^{T}\|$ 50 || AT || ≤ || A || again patting these two inequalities together shows that IIAII = IIATII.

•

•

--

#6 Yet AER^{man} set B=ATA and
define
$$\lambda = max {\begin{subarray}{c} x B x : ||x|| = 1}{\begin{subarray}{c} x : ||x|| = 1}{\begin{s$$

Since

$$x^{T}Bx = x^{T}A^{T}Ax = (Ax)^{T}Ax$$

 $= ||Ax||^{2} \ge 0$
it is clear why $A \ge 0$. To see the
equality note that
 $||A|| = \max \{ ||Ax|| : ||x|| = 1 \}$
 $= [\max \{ ||Ax||^{2} : ||x|| = 1 \}$
 $= [\max \{ x^{T}Bx : ||x|| = 1 \}$
 $= [X = \sqrt{\lambda}.$
Since $||A|| = ||A^{T}||$, we also obtain $||A^{T}|| = \sqrt{\lambda}.$

#6(in) Choose ZER" to be a unit vector such that 5B3=2 and show that $\|B\xi\| \leq \lambda$.

 $\|B\xi\|^2 = (B\xi)^2 B\xi = \xi^2 B^2 B\xi$ $= \overline{\varsigma}^{\dagger}(\overline{B}^{T}\overline{B}\overline{\varsigma}) \leq ||\overline{\varsigma}||\overline{B}^{T}\overline{B}\overline{\varsigma}||$ $\leq \|(A^T A^T A^T A \xi)\| = \|A^T A A^T A \xi\|$ $\leq \|A^{\mathsf{T}}\|\|A\|\|A^{\mathsf{T}}\|\|A\|$ = 伝んなん = 22. Thurstone, taking square roots yields $\|B\xi\| \leq \lambda$

#6 (iii) Expand the inner product (BE-25) (BE-25) and show that 1133-2311=0, Expanding obtains (BE-25)(BE-25) = $(B\xi)^T B\xi - (B\xi)^T \lambda \xi - (\lambda\xi)^T B\xi + (\lambda\xi)(\lambda\xi)$ = ||BEF||2 - 27 3 BE + 22 ||E||2 $\leq \lambda^a - 2\lambda \cdot \lambda + \lambda^a = 0$.

Thurefore

11B3-2311=0.

6(11) As it true or false that 3 must be an eigenvector of B with 2 as an eigenvalue?

Since ||BE-2E-11=0, then it follows that

 $B\xi = \lambda\xi$,

as this is the eigenvector-eigenvalue equation for B, the onlything lift to check is that $\Xi \neq 0$. However, since $||\Xi||=1$, then it is clean $\Xi \neq 0$. Consequently its true that Ξ is an eigenvector of B with A as the worksponding eigenvalue.

#7 Net BETRAXA be any symmetric matrix with BT=B and choose 3EIRA and 2EIR such that 2= max 2xBx: 11x11=13 and 2TB5 = 2. (1) Find an example of a matrix B such that 2<0.

$$\text{Let } B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = -2I.$$

Then
$$B^T = B$$
 and
 $x^T B x = x^T (-2Ix) = -2x^T x$
 $= -2||x||^2 = -2$
 $T = -2||x||^2$

for all whit rectors $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$. It follows that $\lambda = -2 < 0$.

•

#7(11) continues... If V=0 then VTBV = OTBO = O and ZVTV = ZOTO = D So the inequality VBV EAVIV holds in a trivial way. If $V \neq 0$ than $x = \frac{V}{||V||}$ is a unit vector and by definition of λ we have that $x B x \leq \lambda$. Substitutions in terms of V yields $x^{T}Bx = \left(\frac{V}{\|V\|}\right)^{T}B\left(\frac{V}{\|V\|}\right) = \frac{1}{\|V\|^{2}} \sqrt{T}BV$ Therefore $V^{T}BV = \|V\|^2 x^T Bx \leq \lambda \|V\|^2 = \lambda V^T V.$ as desired.

#7in) Set V= Z+ EW where W+ Rn and E>0 and simplify to prove that $\partial w^{\dagger}(B_{\overline{z}}-A_{\overline{z}}) \leq \varepsilon (\lambda w^{T}w - w^{T}Bw).$ Substituting into VTBV < 2VTV we obtain VTBV= (3+EN)TB(3+EW) = EBE+ ETBEN+ (EW)TBE+ (EW)TBEW = A + E(BZ) + E WT (BZ) + E² WT BW $= \lambda + 2 \varepsilon W^T B \xi + \varepsilon^2 W^2 B W$ and $\lambda V^{T} V = \lambda (\Xi + E W)^{T} (\Xi + E W)$ $=\lambda\left(\overline{\xi}^{T}\overline{\xi}+\overline{\lambda}\varepsilon\overline{w}^{T}\overline{\xi}+\overline{\varepsilon}^{2}\overline{w}^{T}\overline{w}\right)$ $= \lambda + 2 \epsilon \lambda w^{T} \xi + \epsilon^{2} \lambda w^{T} W$

#7[mi) continues. It follows that $\chi + \lambda \epsilon w^{\dagger} B \xi + \epsilon^2 w^{\dagger} B w \leq \chi + \lambda \epsilon \lambda w^{\dagger} \xi + \epsilon^2 \lambda w W$ Therefore canceling, and using the fast that E>O implies 2NTBZ+EWTBNS27NTZ+EANTW Finally rearrange the tourns and tation to obtain $\partial w^{\dagger}(B\xi - \lambda\xi) \leq \varepsilon(\lambda w^{\dagger}w - \psi^{\dagger}Bw)$ which was the desired inequality.

#7(in) Now set W=B3-23. Is it true or faddre that & must be an eigenvalue? Setting N= BZ-2Z and Aubstitution into the lift side we obtain $\|B\xi - \lambda\xi\|^2 \leq \varepsilon (\lambda W W - W B W)$ ap this must hold for all E>0 we obtain that || B3-23|| = 0 and consequently that BZ=23. Notive that 3=0 because E is a unit vector immediatly proves that E is an eigenvector of B with X as the concipording eigenvalue.