

The Computational Midterm is moved from Nov 27 to Dec 2.

Solutions of non-linear Systems

Theorem 4.1 (Contraction Mapping Theorem) Suppose that D is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined on D , and $g(D) \subset D$. Suppose further that g is a contraction on D in the ∞ -norm. Then, g has a unique fixed point ξ in D , and the sequence $(x^{(k)})$ defined by (4.3) converges to ξ for any starting value $x^{(0)} \in D$.

We just proved the above theorem. Let's use it!

1-step iteration schemes,

$$x^{(k+1)} = g(x^{(k)}) \quad \text{where } x^{(0)} \text{ is an initial approximation.}$$

We know if g is a contraction and the other hypothesis of Theorem 4.1 hold then $x^{(k)}$ converges to a unique fixed point.

Theorem 4.2 Suppose that $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)^T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined and continuous on a closed set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\xi \in D$ be a fixed point of g , and suppose that the first partial derivatives $\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, of g_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are defined and continuous in some (open) neighbourhood $N(\xi) \subset D$ of ξ , with

$$\|Dg(\xi)\|_{\infty} = \|J_g(\xi)\|_{\infty} < 1.$$

Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $g(\bar{B}_{\varepsilon}(\xi)) \subset \bar{B}_{\varepsilon}(\xi)$, and the sequence defined by (4.3) converges to ξ for all $x^{(0)} \in \bar{B}_{\varepsilon}(\xi)$.

Need to show that g satisfies the hypothesis of the previous theorem "Contraction Mapping Theorem".

Need $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ closed such that $g(D) \subseteq D$ so I can iterate and stay in D .

Also need g to be a contraction on D . There is $L \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\|_{\infty} \leq L \|x - y\|_{\infty} \text{ for all } x, y \in D$$

Since $\|Dg(\xi)\|_{\infty} < 1$ and

$$Dg(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_n}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

is continuous. Choose $\varepsilon = \frac{1 - \|Dg(\xi)\|_{\infty}}{2}$

$\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|Dg(\xi) - Dg(x)\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon \text{ for all } \|\xi - x\|_{\infty} < \delta.$$

Now

$$\|Dg(x)\|_{\infty} = \|Dg(x) - Dg(\xi) + Dg(\xi)\|_{\infty}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\|Dg(x) - Dg(\xi)\|_\infty}_{\text{less than } \epsilon} + \underbrace{\|Dg(\xi)\|_\infty}_{\text{less than } \epsilon}$$

$$\leq \|Dg(\xi)\|_\infty + \epsilon$$

$$\leq \|Dg(\xi)\|_\infty + \frac{1 - \|Dg(\xi)\|_\infty}{2}$$

$$= \underbrace{\frac{1 + \|Dg(\xi)\|_\infty}{2}}_L < 1$$

Therefore $\|x - \xi\|_\infty < \delta$ implies $\|Dg(x)\|_\infty \leq L$.

by continuity

$$\|x - \xi\|_\infty \leq \delta \text{ implies } \|Dg(x)\|_\infty \leq L.$$

Define $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - \xi\|_\infty \leq \delta\}$.

Then D is closed and $x \in D$ implies $\|Dg(x)\|_\infty \leq L$

Also $\xi \in D$ and $g(\xi) = \xi$.

Need to show $g(D) \subseteq D$ and also that g is contraction on D .

Contraction means There is $L \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\|_{\infty} \leq L \|x - y\|_{\infty} \text{ for all } x, y \in D$$

$$g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(x) \\ g_2(x) \\ \vdots \\ g_n(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\|_{\infty} = \max \left\{ |g_i(x) - g_i(y)| : i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

Fix i and estimate $|g_i(x) - g_i(y)|$.

Let $x, y \in D$ then define $\varphi_i(t) = g_i(tx + (1-t)y)$.

Then $\varphi_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi_i(0) = g_i(y)$
 $\varphi_i(1) = g_i(x)$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i'(t) &= \nabla g_i(tx + (1-t)y) \cdot \frac{d}{dt}(tx + (1-t)y) \\ &= \nabla g_i(tx + (1-t)y) \cdot (x - y) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left. \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} \right|_{x = (tx + (1-t)y)} (x_j - y_j) \end{aligned}$$

By mean value theorem

$$g_i(x) - g_i(y) = \phi_i(1) - \phi_i(0) = \phi_i'(\eta) (1-0)$$

for some $\eta \in (0,1)$

$$g_i(x) - g_i(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left. \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} \right|_{x = (\eta x + (1-\eta)y)} (x_j - y_j)$$

Since $\|x - y\|_\infty = \max \{ |x_j - y_j| : j = 1, \dots, n \}$

$$|g_i(x) - g_i(y)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} (\eta x + (1-\eta)y) \right| |x_j - y_j|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} (\eta x + (1-\eta)y) \right| \|x - y\|_\infty$$

$$= \|x - y\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} (\eta x + (1-\eta)y) \right|$$

Therefore

$$|g_i(x) - g_i(y)| \leq \|x - y\|_\infty \max \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} (\eta x + (1-\eta)y) \right| : i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

$\|Dg(\eta x + (1-\eta)y)\|_\infty$

Recall

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|$$

Again for every i we have

$$|g_i(x) - g_i(y)| \leq \|x - y\|_\infty \|Dg(\eta x + (1-\eta)y)\|_\infty$$

$$\max\{|g_i(x) - g_i(y)| : i=1, \dots, n\} \leq \|x - y\|_\infty \|Dg(\eta x + (1-\eta)y)\|_\infty$$

Therefore

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\|_\infty \leq \|Dg(\eta x + (1-\eta)y)\|_\infty \|x - y\|_\infty$$

recall

$$x \in D \text{ implies } \|Dg(x)\|_\infty \leq L$$

Since $x, y \in D$ then $\eta \in (0, 1)$ implies $\eta x + (1-\eta)y \in D$

Therefore $\|g(x) - g(y)\|_\infty \leq L \|x - y\|_\infty$ so g is a contraction.