

1. [Iserles 1.1] Apply the method of proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to prove the implicit midpoint rule (1.12) is second order and convergent.

The implicit midpoint rule is

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h f\left(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n+1})\right).$$

This is the special case of the method discussed in the next problem. Please look at the work there when $\theta = 1/2$ for a proof that the method is second order.

Define the error $e_n = y(t_n) - y_n$. By Taylor's theorem

$$y(t_{n+1}) = y(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h + \frac{1}{2}h) = y(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h) + \frac{1}{2}hy'(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

and

$$y(t_n) = y(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h - \frac{1}{2}h) = y(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h) - \frac{1}{2}hy'(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h) + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$

Therefore

$$y(t_{n+1}) = y(t_n) + hy'(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

and

$$y(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h) = \frac{1}{2}(y(t_n) + y(t_{n+1})) + \mathcal{O}(h^2).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |e_{n+1}| &= |y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}| \\ &= |y(t_n) + hy'(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h) - y_n - hf\left(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n+1})\right)| + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \\ &\leq |e_n| + h|f(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, y(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h)) - f\left(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n+1})\right)| + \mathcal{O}(h^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now use the Lipschitz condition to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, y(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h)) - f\left(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n+1})\right)| &\leq \lambda |y(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h) - \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n+1})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda |y(t_n) + y(t_{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n+1})| + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \leq \frac{1}{2}\lambda(|e_n| + |e_{n+1}|). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$|e_{n+1}| \leq |e_n| + \frac{1}{2}h\lambda(|e_n| + |e_{n+1}|) + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

and so

$$|e_{n+1}| \leq \gamma|e_n| + \mathcal{O}(h^2) \leq \gamma|e_n| + Ah^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}h\lambda}{1 - \frac{1}{2}h\lambda}$$

for some A large enough.

Since $|e_0| = |y(t_0) - y_0| = 0$, then by induction we have

$$|e_1| \leq Ah^2, \quad |e_2| \leq \gamma Ah^2 + Ah^2$$

$$|e_3| \leq (\gamma^2 + \gamma + 1)Ah^2$$

...

$$|e_n| \leq (\gamma^{n-1} + \dots + \gamma + 1)Ah^2 = \frac{\gamma^n - 1}{\gamma - 1}Ah^2.$$

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

We now claim that

$$\frac{\gamma^n - 1}{\gamma - 1} Ah^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{where} \quad h = \frac{T - t_0}{n}.$$

To see this, we recall for $x > 0$ that

$$1 + x \leq 1 + x + \frac{1}{2!}x^2 + \frac{1}{3!}x^3 + \cdots = e^x$$

and for $0 < x < 1/2$ that

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + \frac{x}{1-x} \leq 1 + 2x \leq e^{2x}.$$

Since $h \rightarrow 0$ we may assume $h\lambda \leq 1$ and conclude $\gamma^n \leq (e^{h\lambda/2} e^{h\lambda})^2 = e^{3(T-t_0)\lambda/2}$. Now

$$\gamma - 1 = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}h\lambda}{1 - \frac{1}{2}h\lambda} - 1 = \frac{h\lambda}{1 - \frac{1}{2}h\lambda} \quad \text{implies} \quad \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}h\lambda}{h\lambda}.$$

Therefore,

$$|e_n| \leq \frac{\gamma^n - 1}{\gamma - 1} Ah^2 \leq (e^{3(T-t_0)\lambda/2} - 1) \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2}h\lambda}{h\lambda} Ah^2 \leq (e^{3(T-t_0)\lambda/2} - 1) \frac{1}{\lambda} Ah \rightarrow 0$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows the implicit midpoint rule is convergent.

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

2. [Iserles 1.4] Given $\theta \in [0, 1]$, find the order of the method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_n + (1 - \theta)h, \theta y_n + (1 - \theta)y_{n+1}).$$

Expanding the truncation error

$$\tau(h) = y(t + h) - y(t) - hf(t + (1 - \theta)h, \theta y(t) + (1 - \theta)y(t + h))$$

in powers of h by using the Mathematica script

```

1 eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]
2 r=y[t+h]-y[t]-h*(f[t+(1-theta)*h,theta*y[t]+(1-theta)*y[t+h]])
3 Dr=r
4 For[i=0,i<4,i++,
5     t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
6     Print["Tau^",i," = ",t0];
7     If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
8     Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]
9 ]

```

to compute the derivatives $\tau^{(k)}(0) = \text{Tau}^k$ yields

Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)
 Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.

```

In[1]:= eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]

Out[1]= y' > Function[t, f[t, y[t]]]

In[2]:= r=y[t+h]-y[t]-h*(f[t+(1-theta)*h,theta*y[t]+(1-theta)*y[t+h]])

Out[2]= -(h f[t + h (1 - theta), theta y[t] + (1 - theta) y[h + t]] - y[t] +
> y[h + t]

In[3]:= Dr=r

Out[3]= -(h f[t + h (1 - theta), theta y[t] + (1 - theta) y[h + t]] - y[t] +
> y[h + t]

In[4]:= For[i=0,i<4,i++,
t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
Print["Tau^",i,"=",t0];
If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]

```

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

```

]
Tau^0 = 0
Tau^1 = 0
Tau^2 = (-1 + 2 theta) (f[t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] + f      [t, y[t]])(0,1)(1,0)
```

In[5]:=

This indicates for values of $\theta \neq 1/2$ that $\tau(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$ and consequently that the method is first order. If $\theta = 1/2$ then the modified script

```

1 eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]
2 theta=1/2
3 r=y[t+h]-y[t]-h*(f[t+(1-theta)*h,theta*y[t]+(1-theta)*y[t+h]])
4 Dr=r
5 For[i=0,i<4,i++,
6   t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
7   Print["Tau^",i," = ",t0];
8   If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
9   Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]
10 ]
```

yields

```

Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)
Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.
```

In[1]:= eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]

Out[1]= $y' \rightarrow \text{Function}[t, f[t, y[t]]]$

In[2]:= theta=1/2

```

1
Out[2]= -
```

$$\frac{1}{2}$$

In[3]:= r=y[t+h]-y[t]-h*(f[t+(1-theta)*h,theta*y[t]+(1-theta)*y[t+h]])

```

h      y[t]      y[h + t]
Out[3]= -(h f[- + t, ----- + -----]) - y[t] + y[h + t]
          2           2           2
```

In[4]:= Dr=r

$$h \quad y[t] \quad y[h + t]$$

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

```

Out[4]= -(h f[- + t, ----- + -----] - y[t] + y[h + t]
           2          2          2

In[5]:= For[i=0,i<4,i++,
t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
Print["Tau^",i,"=",t0];
If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]
]
Tau^0 = 0
Tau^1 = 0
Tau^2 = 0
Tau^3 = (f[t, y[t]]2 (0,2) - 2 f[t, y[t]](0,1) f[t, y[t]](1,0) -
           (0,1)          2          (1,1)          (2,0)
>      2 f[t, y[t]] (f[t, y[t]]2 - f[t, y[t]]) + f[t, y[t]]) / 4

```

In[6]:=

This shows when $\theta = 1/2$ that $\tau(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^3)$ and the method is second order.

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

- 3.** [Iserles 1.5] Provided that f is analytic, it is possible to obtain from $y' = f(t, y)$ an expression for the second derivative of y , namely $y'' = g(t, y)$, where

$$g(t, y) = \frac{\partial f(t, y)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f(t, y)}{\partial y} f(t, y).$$

Find the orders of the methods

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h f(t_n, y_n) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 g(t_n, y_n)$$

and

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{1}{2} h [f(t_n, y_n) + f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})] + \frac{1}{12} h^2 [g(t_n, y_n) - g(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})].$$

For the first method use the script

```

1 eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]
2 r=y[t+h]-y[t]-h*f[t,y[t]]-h^2/2*g[t,y[t]]
3 g=Function[{t,y},Evaluate[D[f[t,y],t]+D[f[t,y],y]*f[t,y]]]
4 Dr=r
5 For[i=0,i<6,i++,
6     t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
7     Print["Tau^",i," = ",t0];
8     If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
9     Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]
10 ]

```

Note that the use of `Evaluate` was necessary in line 3 for the definition of g to prevent lazy evaluation so the derivatives in line 9 work properly. When run, we obtain

```

Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)
Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.

```

```

In[1]:= eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]

Out[1]= y' > Function[t, f[t, y[t]]]

In[2]:= r=y[t+h]-y[t]-h*f[t,y[t]]-h^2/2*g[t,y[t]]

Out[2]= -(h f[t, y[t]]) - ----- - y[t] + y[h + t]
                  2
                  h g[t, y[t]]
```

In[3]:= g=Function[{t,y},Evaluate[D[f[t,y],t]+D[f[t,y],y]*f[t,y]]]

(0,1)	(1,0)
-------	-------

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

```

Out[3]= Function[{t, y}, f[t, y] f      [t, y] + f      [t, y]]

In[4]:= Dr=r

Out[4]= -(h f[t, y[t]]) - y[t] + y[h + t] -
          2           (0,1)           (1,0)
          h (f[t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] + f      [t, y[t]]) -
> -----
          2

In[5]:= For[i=0,i<6,i++,
t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
Print["Tau^",i,"=",t0];
If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]
]
Tau^0 = 0
Tau^1 = 0
Tau^2 = 0
          2   (0,2)           (0,1)           (1,0)
Tau^3 = f[t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] + f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] +
          (0,1)           2           (1,1)           (2,0)
>     f[t, y[t]] (f      [t, y[t]] + 2 f      [t, y[t]]) + f      [t, y[t]]

```

In[6]:=

This shows that $\tau(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^3)$ for the first method which we then infer is order 2.

For the second method, the script

```

1 eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]
2 r=y[t+h]-y[t]-h/2*(f[t,y[t]]+f[t+h,y[t+h]])-
3     h^2/12*(g[t,y[t]]-g[t+h,y[t+h]])
4 g=Function[{t,y},Evaluate[D[f[t,y],t]+D[f[t,y],y]*f[t,y]]]
5 Dr=r
6 For[i=0,i<6,i++,
7     t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
8     Print["Tau^",i," = ",t0];
9     If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
10    Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]
11 ]

```

yields

Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.

In[1]:= eq=y' ->Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]

Out[1]= $y' \rightarrow \text{Function}[t, f[t, y[t]]]$

In[2]:= r=y[t+h]-y[t]-h/2*(f[t,y[t]]+f[t+h,y[t+h]])-
 $h^2/12*(g[t,y[t]]-g[t+h,y[t+h]])$

- (h (f[t, y[t]] + f[h + t, y[h + t]]))

Out[2]= $\frac{- (h (f[t, y[t]] + f[h + t, y[h + t]]))}{2}$

$$> \frac{h^2 (g[t, y[t]] - g[h + t, y[h + t]])}{12} - y[t] + y[h + t]$$

In[3]:= g=Function[{t,y},Evaluate[D[f[t,y],t]+D[f[t,y],y]*f[t,y]]]

Out[3]= Function[{t, y}, f[t, y] f^{(0,1)} [t, y] + f^{(1,0)} [t, y]]

In[4]:= Dr=r

- (h (f[t, y[t]] + f[h + t, y[h + t]]))

Out[4]= $\frac{- (h (f[t, y[t]] + f[h + t, y[h + t]]))}{2} - y[t] + y[h + t] -$

> $(h^2 (f[t, y[t]] f^{(0,1)} [t, y[t]] -$

> $f[h + t, y[h + t]] f^{(0,1)} [h + t, y[h + t]] + f^{(1,0)} [t, y[t]] -$

> $f^{(1,0)} [h + t, y[h + t]])) / 12$

In[5]:= For[i=0,i<6,i++,

t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];

Print["Tau^",i,"=",t0];

If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];

Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]

]

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

```

Tau^0 = 0
Tau^1 = 0
Tau^2 = 0
Tau^3 = 0
Tau^4 = 0
        4  (0,4)           (0,1)           3  (1,0)
Tau^5 = (f[t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] + f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          (0,2)           (1,0)           2
>      3 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          3   (0,2)           2           (0,1)           (0,3)
>      f[t, y[t]] (4 f [t, y[t]] + 7 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          (1,3)           (0,1)           2  (2,0)
>      4 f [t, y[t]]) + f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          (1,1)           (2,0)           (1,0)           (2,1)
>      4 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] + 6 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          2   (0,1)           2  (0,2)
>      f[t, y[t]] (11 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          (0,1)           (1,2)
>      15 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          (0,3)           (1,0)
>      6 (f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          (0,2)           (1,1)           (2,2)
>      2 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] + f [t, y[t]]) +
          (0,1)           (1,0)           (1,1)           (3,0)
>      f [t, y[t]] (7 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] + f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]]) +
          (0,1)           4   (0,1)           2  (1,1)
>      f[t, y[t]] (f [t, y[t]] + 9 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          (0,1)           (0,2)           (1,0)
>      f [t, y[t]] (13 f [t, y[t]] f [t, y[t]] +
          (2,1)
>      9 f [t, y[t]]) +

```

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

```
(1,1)      2      (1,0)      (1,2)
> 4 (2 f      [t, y[t]] + 3 f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] +
(0,2)      (2,0)      (3,1)
> f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] + f      [t, y[t]])) +
(4,0)
> f      [t, y[t]]) / 6
```

In[6]:=

This shows that $\tau(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^5)$ for the second method which we then infer is order 4.

4. [Iserles 1.8] Let f be analytic. Prove that, for sufficiently small $h > 0$ and an analytic function x , the function

$$x(t+h) - x(t-h) - hf\left(\frac{1}{2}(x(t-h) + x(t+h))\right)$$

can be expanded into power series in odd powers of h . Deduce that the error in the implicit midpoint rule (1.13) when applied to autonomous ODEs $y' = f(y)$ also admits an expansion in odd powers of h . Hint: First try to prove the statement for a scalar function f . Once you have solved this problem, a generalization should present no difficulties.

Let

$$\xi(h) = x(t+h) - x(t-h) - hf\left(\frac{1}{2}(x(t-h) + x(t+h))\right)$$

and note that

$$\xi(-h) = x(t-h) - x(t+h) + hf\left(\frac{1}{2}(x(t+h) + x(t-h))\right) = -\xi(h).$$

Therefore $\xi(h)$ is an odd function in h . It follows that the derivatives

$$\xi^{(k)}(h) = \begin{cases} \text{is an even function for } k \text{ odd} \\ \text{is an odd function for } k \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Since any odd function must be zero at the origin, we conclude $\xi^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for k even. Consequently the power series expansion

$$\xi(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} h^k \xi^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{k!} h^k \xi^{(k)}(0)$$

contains only odd powers of h . Upon noting that all of the above holds verbatim when $\xi(h)$ is a vector-valued function, we conclude that this result holds equally well when f is vector valued.

Finally, to draw a connection to the implicit midpoint rule first note the f in that rule is different than the one in the definition of $\xi(h)$. To avoid confusion, we therefore call the force in the implicit midpoint rule by g and write

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hg\left(t_n + \frac{1}{2}h, \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n+1})\right),$$

Note when g is autonomous that this rule becomes

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + hg\left(\frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_{n+1})\right)$$

with the resulting truncation error

$$\tau(h) = y(t_{n+1}) - y(t_n) - hg\left(\frac{1}{2}(y(t_n) + y(t_{n+1}))\right).$$

Now, taking $g(y) = 2f(x)$ and identifying $y(t) = x(t - h/2)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(h) &= y(t+h) - y(t) - hg\left(\frac{1}{2}(y(t) + y(t+h))\right) \\ &= x(t+h/2) - x(t-h/2) - \frac{1}{2}hf\left(\frac{1}{2}(x(t-h/2) + x(t+h/2))\right) = \xi(h/2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tau(h) = \xi(h/2)$. This shows that, provided a shift of $h/2$ is made in time, that the truncation error in the implicit midpoint rule admits an expansion in odd powers of h . Frankly, I found such shifting in time when computing the truncation error a bit confusing if not dubious.

5. [Iserles 2.3] Instead of (2.3), consider the identity

$$y(t_{n+s}) = y(t_{n+s-2}) + \int_{t_{n+s-2}}^{t_{n+s}} f(\tau, y(\tau)) d\tau.$$

- (i)** Replace $f(\tau, y(\tau))$ by the interpolating polynomial p from Section 2.1 and substitute y_{n+s-2} in place of $y(t_{n+s-2})$. Prove that the resultant explicit Nystrom method is of order $p = s$.

As in Section 2.1 consider the interpolating polynomial p of order $s - 1$ such that

$$p(t_m) = f(t_m, y(t_m)) \quad \text{for } m = 0, \dots, s - 1.$$

As before, interpolation theory and the smoothness of y implies

$$p(t) = y'(t) + \mathcal{O}(h^s) \quad \text{for } t \in [t_{n+s-1}, t_{n+s}].$$

Since τ is already used as the variable of integration let the truncation error in the resulting method by written ψ_n . Thus,

$$y(t_{n+s}) = y(t_{n+s-2}) + \int_{t_{n+s-2}}^{t_{n+s}} p(\tau) d\tau + \psi_n(h).$$

It follows setting $t = t_{n+s-1}$, dropping the subscripts and using the fact that $\mathcal{O}(h^s)$ stands for a uniform bound on the error in p that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(h) &= y(t+h) - y(t-h) - \int_{t-h}^{t+h} p(\tau) d\tau \\ &= y(t+h) - y(t-h) - \int_{t-h}^{t+h} \left(y'(t) + \mathcal{O}(h^s) \right) d\tau \\ &= y(t+h) - y(t-h) - \int_{t-h}^{t+h} y'(t) d\tau - 2h\mathcal{O}(h^s) \\ &= y(t+h) - y(t-h) - (y(t+h) - y(t-h)) + \mathcal{O}(h^{s+1}) = \mathcal{O}(h^{s+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the explicit Nystrom method is of order $p = s$.

- (ii)** Derive the two-step Nystrom method in a closed form by using the above approach.

To derive the two-step method we set $s = 2$ and explicitly write down the polynomial p as

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= p_0(t)f(t_n, y_n) + p_1(t)f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\ &= \frac{t - t_{n+1}}{t_n - t_{n+1}} f(t_n, y_n) + \frac{t - t_n}{t_{n+1} - t_n} f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} ((t_{n+1} - t)f(t_n, y_n) + (t - t_n)f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} (t_{n+1}f(t_n, y_n) - t_n f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + t(f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) - f(t_n, y_n))). \end{aligned}$$

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+2}} p(\tau) d\tau &= 2(t_{n+1}f(t_n, y_n) - t_n f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2h}(t_{n+2}^2 - t_n^2)(f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) - f(t_n, y_n)) \\
 &= 2(t_{n+1}f(t_n, y_n) - (t_{n+1} - h)f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2h}((t_{n+1} + h)^2 - (t_{n+1} - h)^2)(f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) - f(t_n, y_n)) \\
 &= 2t_{n+1}(f(t_n, y_n) - f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) + 2hf(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2h}(2ht_{n+1})(f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) - f(t_n, y_n)) \\
 &= 2hf(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the two-step Nystrom method is $y_{n+2} = y_n + 2hf(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$.

- (iii) Find the coefficients of the two-step and three-step Nystrom methods by noticing that $\rho(w) = w^{s-2}(w^2 - 1)$ and evaluating σ from (2.13).

For $s = 2$ we obtain

Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)
Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.

In[1]:= s=2

Out[1]= 2

In[2]:= rho=w^(s-2)*(w^2-1)

Out[2]=
$$-1 + \frac{2}{w}$$

In[3]:= T1=Series[rho/Log[w],{w,1,s-1}]

Out[3]=
$$2 + 2(-1 + \frac{1}{w}) + 0[-1 + \frac{1}{w}]$$

In[4]:= P1=Normal[T1]

Out[4]=
$$2 + 2(-1 + \frac{1}{w})$$

In[5]:= sigma=Expand[P1]

Out[5]=
$$2w$$

In[6]:=

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

which is consistent with the previous problem.

For $s = 3$ we obtain

Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)
 Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.

In[1]:= s=3

Out[1]= 3

In[2]:= rho=w^(s-2)*(w^2-1)

Out[2]= $w^2 (-1 + w^2)$

In[3]:= T1=Series[rho/Log[w],{w,1,s-1}]

Out[3]= $2 + 4 \frac{(-1 + w)^2}{3} + \dots + 0 \frac{(-1 + w)^3}{3}$

In[4]:= P1=Normal[T1]

Out[4]= $2 + 4 \frac{(-1 + w)^2}{3} + \dots$

In[5]:= sigma=Expand[P1]

Out[5]= $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{2}{3}w + \frac{7}{3}w^2$

In[6]:=

This shows the three-step Nystrom method is

$$y_{n+3} = y_{n+1} + h \left[\frac{1}{3} f(t_n, y_n) - \frac{2}{3} f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + \frac{7}{3} f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+2}) \right].$$

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

- (iv) Derive the two-step third-order implicit Milne method, again letting $\rho(w) = w^{s-2}(w^2 - 1)$ but allowing σ to be of degree s .

The Mathematica computation

```
Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)
Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.
```

```
In[1]:= s=2
```

```
Out[1]= 2
```

```
In[2]:= rho=w^(s-2)*(w^2-1)
```

```
Out[2]= -1 + w2
```

```
In[3]:= T1=Series[rho/Log[w],{w,1,s}]
```

```
Out[3]= 2 + 2 (-1 + w) + (-1 + w)2 + 0(-1 + w)3
```

```
In[4]:= P1=Normal[T1]
```

```
Out[4]= 2 + 2 (-1 + w) + (-1 + w)2
```

```
In[5]:= sigma=Expand[P1]
```

```
Out[5]= - + 1/3 w2 + 4/3 w3 + 1/3 w4
```

```
In[6]:=
```

implies the two-step third-order implicit Milne method is

$$y_{n+2} = y_n + h \left[\frac{1}{3} f(t_n, y_n) + \frac{4}{3} f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + \frac{1}{3} f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+2}) \right].$$

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

6. [Iserles 2.4] Determine the order of the three-step method

$$y_{n+3} - y_n = h \left[\frac{3}{8}f(t_{n+3}, y_{n+3}) + \frac{9}{8}f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+2}) + \frac{9}{8}f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + \frac{3}{8}f(t_n, y_n) \right],$$

the three-eighths scheme. Is it convergent?

Compute the order of the method in two different ways. First, using a similar script as used for problem Iserles 1.4. Namely,

```

1 eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]
2 r=y[t+h]-y[t-2*h]-h*(3/8*f[t+h,y[t+h]]+9/8*f[t,y[t]]+
3     9/8*f[t-h,y[t-h]]+3/8*f[t-2*h,y[t-2*h]])
4 Dr=r
5 For[i=0,i<8,i++,
6     t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
7     Print["Tau^",i," = ",t0];
8     If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
9     Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]
10 ]

```

The output

Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)
Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.

```

In[1]:= eq=y'>Function[t,f[t,y[t]]]

Out[1]= y' > Function[t, f[t, y[t]]]

In[2]:= r=y[t+h]-y[t-2*h]-h*(3/8*f[t+h,y[t+h]]+9/8*f[t,y[t]]+
9/8*f[t-h,y[t-h]]+3/8*f[t-2*h,y[t-2*h]])

          9 f[t, y[t]]  3 f[-2 h + t, y[-2 h + t]]
Out[2]= -(h (----- + ----- + -----
          8                  8

          9 f[-h + t, y[-h + t]]  3 f[h + t, y[h + t]]
>           ----- + -----)) - y[-2 h + t] +
          8                  8

>      y[h + t]

In[3]:= Dr=r

          9 f[t, y[t]]  3 f[-2 h + t, y[-2 h + t]]
Out[3]= -(h (----- + ----- + -----
          8                  8

```

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

```

>      9 f[-h + t, y[-h + t]]   3 f[h + t, y[h + t]]
>      ----- + -----)) - y[-2 h + t] +
>      8                                         8

>      y[h + t]

In[4]:= For[i=0,i<8,i++,
t0=Simplify[Dr/.h->0];
Print["Tau^",i,"=",t0];
If[t0==0,Null,Break[],Break[]];
Dr=Simplify[D[Dr,h]/.eq]
]
Tau^0 = 0
Tau^1 = 0
Tau^2 = 0
Tau^3 = 0
Tau^4 = 0
Tau^5 = (-9 (f[t, y[t]] f^(4,0,4) [t, y[t]] + f^(0,1,4) [t, y[t]] f^(3,1,4) [t, y[t]] +
3 f^(0,2,4) [t, y[t]] f^(1,0,4) [t, y[t]] + f^(0,0,5) [t, y[t]] (4 f^(3,0,2) [t, y[t]] + 7 f^(2,0,2) [t, y[t]] f^(0,1,2) [t, y[t]] f^(0,0,3) [t, y[t]] +
4 f^(1,3,2) [t, y[t]] f^(0,1,1) [t, y[t]] f^(2,2,0) [t, y[t]] + 4 f^(1,1,2) [t, y[t]] f^(0,0,1) [t, y[t]] + 6 f^(1,0,2) [t, y[t]] f^(2,1,0) [t, y[t]] + 6 f^(0,0,1) [t, y[t]] (11 f^(2,0,1) [t, y[t]] f^(0,2,1) [t, y[t]] + 15 f^(0,1,1) [t, y[t]] f^(1,2,0) [t, y[t]] + 6 (f^(0,3,0) [t, y[t]] f^(1,0,0) [t, y[t]] +
```

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

```

(0,2)          (1,1)          (2,2)
> 2 f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] + f      [t, y[t]]) +
(0,1)          (1,0)          (1,1)
> f      [t, y[t]] (7 f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] +
(3,0)
> f      [t, y[t]]) + f[t, y[t]]
(0,1)          4          (0,1)          2 (1,1)
> (f      [t, y[t]] + 9 f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] +
(0,1)          (0,2)          (1,0)
> f      [t, y[t]] (13 f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] +
(2,1)
> 9 f      [t, y[t]]) +
(1,1)          2          (1,0)          (1,2)
> 4 (2 f      [t, y[t]] + 3 f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] +
(0,2)          (2,0)          (3,1)
> f      [t, y[t]] f      [t, y[t]] + f      [t, y[t]]) +
(4,0)
> f      [t, y[t]])) / 2

```

In[5]:=

indicates that $\tau(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^5)$ and so the three-eights scheme is fourth order.

The same result can be achieved using Theorem 2.1 from the text. In this case we take

$$\rho(w) = w^3 - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(w) = \frac{3}{8}w^3 + \frac{9}{8}w^2 + \frac{9}{8}w + \frac{3}{8}.$$

The script

```

1 rho=w^3-1
2 sigma=3/8*w^3+9/8*w^2+9/8*w+3/8
3 r=rho-Log[w]*sigma
4 Series[r,{w,1,5}]

```

produces the output

Wolfram Language 12.1.1 Engine for Linux ARM (32-bit)
 Copyright 1988-2020 Wolfram Research, Inc.

Math 467/667: Homework 1 Solutions

In[1]:= rho=w^3-1

$$\text{Out}[1]= -1 + w^3$$

In[2]:= sigma=3/8*w^3+9/8*w^2+9/8*w+3/8

$$\text{Out}[2]= \frac{-1 + \frac{9}{8}w + \frac{9}{8}w^2 + \frac{3}{8}w^3}{8}$$

In[3]:= r=rho-Log[w]*sigma

$$\text{Out}[3]= -1 + w - \left(\frac{3}{8} + \frac{3}{8}w + \frac{9}{8}w^2 + \frac{9}{8}w^3 + \frac{3}{8}w^4 \right) \text{Log}[w]$$

In[4]:= Series[r,{w,1,5}]

$$\text{Out}[4]= \frac{-3(-1 + w)}{80} + 0[-1 + w]^6$$

In[5]:=

which again indicates that $\tau(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^5)$.

Convergence of the method can be checked using the Dahlquist root condition. In this case the roots of $\rho(w) = 0$ all lie on the boundary of the unit disk and are simple. This implies the scheme is convergent.